arXiv:1606.08059v2 [math.AP] 26 Sep 2016

Spatial asymptotic expansions in the incompressible Euler equation

R. McOwen and P. Topalov

September 27, 2016

Abstract

In this paper we prove that the Euler equation describing the motion of an ideal fluid in $\mathbb{R}^d$ is well-posed in a class of functions allowing spatial asymptotic expansions as $|x| \to \infty$ of any a priori given order. These asymptotic expansions can involve log terms and lead to a family of conservation laws. Typically, the solutions of the Euler equation with rapidly decaying initial data develop non-trivial spatial asymptotic expansions of the type considered here.

1 Introduction

Consider the Euler equation for the motion of an incompressible perfect fluid in $\mathbb{R}^d$ for $d \geq 2$:

$$\begin{cases} u_t + u \cdot \nabla u = -\nabla \mathrm{p}, \quad \operatorname{div} u = 0, \\ u|_{t=0} = u_0, \end{cases} \tag{1}$$

where the velocity field $u(t,x)$ is to be determined from the given initial condition $u_0(x)$. Here $\mathrm{p}(t,x)$ is the scalar pressure; the divergence div and the covariant derivative ∇ are computed with respect to the Euclidean metric in $\mathbb{R}^d$ and the expression $u \cdot \nabla u$ stands for the directional covariant derivative $\nabla_u u$. Throughout the paper we identify vectors and covectors with the help of the Euclidean metric. A classical result of Kato [22] states that if the initial data u_0 belongs to the Sobolev space H^m, $m > 1 + \frac{d}{2}$, of vector fields in $\mathbb{R}^d$ whose derivatives up to order m are in L^2, then there exists $T > 0$ so that equation (1) has a unique solution $u \in C^0\big([0,T], H^m\big) \cap C^1\big([0,T], H^{m-2}\big)$. Note that the condition $u_0 \in H^m$ for $m > d/2$ implies that $u_0(x) \to 0$ as $|x| \to \infty$ (and similarly for $u(t,x)$); this leaves out, for example, the "physically interesting case of flows having uniform velocity at infinity" [21].

In this paper, we consider spaces of vector fields that allow *asymptotic expansions* at infinity of any a priori given order. Note that these vector fields do not necessarily decay at infinity. The simplest form of such asymptotic expansion is

$$a_0(\theta) + \frac{a_1(\theta)}{r} + \cdots + \frac{a_N(\theta)}{r^N} + o\Big(\frac{1}{r^N}\Big) \quad \text{as } |x| \to \infty, \tag{2}$$

where N is a non-negative integer, $r \equiv r(x) := |x|$, $\theta \equiv \theta(x) := \frac{x}{|x|}$ is a point on the unit sphere S^{d-1} in $\mathbb{R}^d$, and the coefficients a_j for $j = 0, ..., N$ are continuous vector-valued functions

$a_j : \mathrm{S}^{d-1} \to \mathbb{R}^d$. Under certain technical assumptions on $a_1, \dots, a_N$ and the remainder in (2), we have introduced and studied in [27] Banach spaces $\mathcal{A}^{m,p}_N$ of such vector fields that lie inside $H^{m,p}_{loc}$ with m a non-negative integer and $1 < p < \infty$; the details of these *asymptotic spaces* $\mathcal{A}^{m,p}_N$ are summarized in the first section of this paper. Note that the spaces $\mathcal{A}^{m,p}_N$ are *not* preserved by the Euler flow (see Example 1 in Appendix B for a counter example), so we generalize (2) by allowing logarithmic terms as follows:

$$a_0(\theta) + \frac{a^0_1(\theta) + a^1_1(\theta)\log r}{r} + \dots + \frac{a^0_N(\theta) + \dots + a^N_N(\theta)(\log r)^N}{r^N} + o\Big(\frac{1}{r^N}\Big) \quad \text{as } |x| \to \infty. \tag{3}$$

In Appendix B of [27], we introduced and studied a class of Banach spaces $\mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{N;\ell}$ which for $\ell = 0$ consist of vector fields having the asymptotic behavior in (3); the details of the asymptotic spaces $\mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{N;\ell}$ are also summarized in the next section. One of the main results of this paper implies that the asymptotic spaces $\mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{N;0}$ *are preserved* by the Euler flow.

Let us now formulate our first result. Let $B_{\mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{N;0}}(\rho)$ be the open ball of radius $\rho > 0$ centered at the origin in $\mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{N;0}$. Denote by $\mathring{\mathcal{A}}^{m,p}_{N;0}$ the closed subspace of *divergence free* vector fields in $\mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{N;0}$.

Theorem 1.1. *Assume that $m > 3 + \frac{d}{p}$. For any given $\rho > 0$ there exists $T > 0$ such that for any divergence free vector field $u_0 \in B_{\mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{N;0}}(\rho)$ there exists a unique solution $u \in C^0\big([0,T], \mathring{\mathcal{A}}^{m,p}_{N;0}\big) \cap C^1\big([0,T], \mathring{\mathcal{A}}^{m-1,p}_{N;0}\big)$ of the Euler equation (1) that depends continuously on the initial data in the sense that the data-to-solution map*

$$u_0 \mapsto u, \ B_{\mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{N;0}}(\rho) \cap \mathring{\mathcal{A}}^{m,p}_{N;0} \to C^0\big([0,T], \mathring{\mathcal{A}}^{m,p}_{N;0}\big) \cap C^1\big([0,T], \mathring{\mathcal{A}}^{m-1,p}_{N;0}\big)$$

is continuous. In particular, the space $\mathring{\mathcal{A}}^{m,p}_{N;0}$ is preserved by the Euler flow.

Remark 1.1. *For any $t \in [0,T]$ the pressure term $\mathrm{p}(t)$ has an asymptotic expansion as $|x| \to \infty$ of a special form (see formula (41) and Remark 3.3 for the definition of the corresponding asymptotic space).*

Remark 1.2. *A simple modification of our proof shows that a variant of Theorem 1.1 also holds if one allows an external force of the form $\mathrm{f} \in C^0\big([0,\tau], \mathcal{A}^{m+1,p}_{N;0}\big)$, $\tau > 0$. More specifically, one can prove that for any divergence free vector field $q \in \mathring{\mathcal{A}}^{m,p}_{N;0}$ there exist an open neighborhood $\mathcal{U}(q)$ of q in $\mathring{\mathcal{A}}^{m,p}_{N;0}$ and $0 < T \le \tau$ so that for any $u_0 \in \mathcal{U}(q)$ there exists a unique solution $u \in C^0\big([0,T], \mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{N;0}\big) \cap C^1\big([0,T], \mathcal{A}^{m-1,p}_{N;0}\big)$ of the Euler equation $u_t + u \cdot \nabla u = -\nabla \mathrm{p} + \mathrm{f}$, $\operatorname{div} u = 0$, that depends continuously on the initial data in the sense described in Theorem 1.1.*

Remark 1.3. *Theorem 1.1 admits a refinement to asymptotic spaces $\mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{n,N;0}$, $1 \le n \le N$, which are defined by requiring that the coefficients a_0 and a^j_k for $1 \le k \le n-1$ and $0 \le j \le k$ in (3) vanish (cf. (9) and Section 2 for more detail on this space). In fact, the statement of Theorem 1.1 holds with $\mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{N;0}$ replaced by $\mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{n,N;0}$, provided $0 \le n \le d+1$. If the initial data belongs to $\mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{n,N;0}$ with $n > d+1$ then the solution in Theorem 1.1 evolves in the space $\mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{d+1,N;0}$. Moreover, such a solution typically develops a non-vanishing leading asymptotic term a_{d+1}/r^{d+1} (see Example 2 in Appendix B as well as [15]). The proof of Theorem 1.1 for the spaces $\mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{n,N;0}$, $1 \le n \le N$, does not significantly vary from the case $n = 0$, so we will not discuss the details. We only mention that*

the condition $0 \leq n \leq d+1$ above appears as a consequence of Lemma A.3 and a generalization of Lemma B.1.

A few more comments about Theorem 1.1 are in order. First of all, it is natural to wonder whether the asymptotic solutions in Theorem 1.1 persist for all time in the case $d = 2$ when global existence results are known ([33, 21]): indeed we expect this to be the case. Secondly, taking $n > d/2$ in Remark 1.3, we see that our solutions have finite kinetic energy $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |u(x,t)|^2 \, dx < \infty$. Finally, we observe that, unlike other non-linear evolution equations such as KdV, the modified KdV, Camassa-Holm, and Degasperis-Procesi equations, the solution map of the Euler equation (1) does not preserve the Schwartz class $\mathcal{S}$, or more generally, the asymptotic space $\mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{n,N;0}$ with $n > d+1$ (see Remark 1.3). More specifically, solutions with initial data in $\mathcal{S}$ evolve in the asymptotic space $\mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{d+1,N;0}$ and typically develop a non-vanishing leading asymptotic term a_{d+1}/r^{d+1}. In this way, the asymptotic spaces appear *naturally* in the Euler dynamics of the velocity field, and the asymptotic space $\mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{d+1,N;0}$ in particular can be considered as a natural "minimal" extension of the Schwartz class that stays invariant with respect to the Euler flow.

Asymptotic conserved quantities. Take $u_0 \in \mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{N;0}$. Then, by Theorem 1.1, there exist $T > 0$ and a unique solution $u \in C^0\big([0,T], \mathring{\mathcal{A}}^{m,p}_{N;0}\big) \cap C^1\big([0,T], \mathring{\mathcal{A}}^{m-1,p}_{N;0}\big)$ of the Euler equation (1). In particular, for any $t \in [0,T]$ we have $u(t) \in \mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{N;0}$ and hence $u(t)$ allows an asymptotic expansion of the form (3). In general, the coefficients

$$a^j_k(t) \in C^0\big(\mathrm{S}^{d-1}, \mathbb{R}^d\big), \quad 0 \leq k \leq N, \quad 0 \leq j \leq k,$$

of this asymptotic expansion depend on $t \in [0,T]$. We will see, however, that the leading coefficient $a_0 \equiv a^0_0$ in this asymptotic expansion is independent of t. Hence, for any $t \in [0,T]$ and for any $\omega \in \mathrm{S}^{d-1}$, the limit

$$\lim_{\varrho \to \infty} u(t, \varrho\, \omega)$$

exists and is independent of t. In this way, a_0 is a *conserved quantity* (or, equivalently, an *integral*) of the Euler equation. More generally, we have additional conserved quantities: Recall that the eigenvalues of the "positive" Laplace-Beltrami operator $-\Delta_S$ on the unit sphere $\mathrm{S}^{d-1} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$, equipped with the restriction of the Euclidean metric on $\mathbb{R}^d$ to S^{d-1}, have the form

$$\mu_l = l(l+d-2), \quad l = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

and the space of eigenfunctions with eigenvalue μ_l coincides with the space of homogeneous harmonic polynomials of degree l in $\mathbb{R}^d$ restricted to S^{d-1} (see e.g. [32]). We have the following

Proposition 1.1. *Assume that $u_0 \in \mathring{\mathcal{A}}^{m,p}_{N;0}$ and let u be the solution of the Euler equation (1) given by Theorem 1.1.*

(*a*) *For any $0 \leq k < \max\{1, d-2\}$ the coefficient $a^k_k : \mathrm{S}^{d-1} \to \mathbb{R}^d$ is independent of $t \in [0,T]$;*

(*b*) *For any $\max\{1, d-2\} \leq k \leq N$, $l \geq 0$, $l \neq k-d+2$, and for any homogeneous harmonic polynomial h of degree l in $\mathbb{R}^d$, the quantity*

$$\big(a^k_k, h|_{\mathrm{S}^{d-1}}\big)_{\mathrm{S}^{d-1}} := \int_{\omega \in \mathrm{S}^{d-1}} h(\omega)\, a^k_k(t,\omega)\, \mathrm{d}s(\omega), \tag{4}$$

where $\mathrm{d}s$ is the Riemannian volume form on S^{d-1}, is independent of $t \in [0,T]$.

Remark 1.4. *The majority of the integrals* (4) *of the Euler flow are non-trivial (see the end of Section 7).*

Remark 1.5. *Arguing as in the proof of Proposition 1.1, one can see that if the initial data lies in* $\mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{n,N;0}$, $0 \leq n \leq N$, *then the leading asymptotic term in the asymptotic expansion* (9) *of the solution* $u(x,t)$ *is independent of* $t \in [0,T]$. *Moreover, the coefficients* a^j_k *with* $n \leq k \leq \min\{2n, d\}$, $0 \leq j \leq k$, *in the asymptotic expansion of the solution are independent of* $t \in [0,T]$.

Asymptotic diffeomorphisms. Let $u \in C^0\big([0,T], \mathring{\mathcal{A}}^{m,p}_{N;0}\big) \cap C^1\big([0,T], \mathring{\mathcal{A}}^{m-1,p}_{N;0}\big)$ be the solution of the Euler equation (1) given by Theorem 1.1. In Section 8 we prove that the one-parameter family of diffeomorphisms $x \mapsto \varphi(t,x)$, $\mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d$, $t \in [0,T]$, associated to the non-autonomous dynamical system $\dot{x} = u(t,x)$ on $\mathbb{R}^d$ consists of volume preserving diffeomorphisms of a special form, that we call *asymptotic diffeomorphisms*. This class of diffeomorphisms forms a group that we denote by $\mathring{\mathcal{A}}D^{m,p}_{N;0}$. This group is a real analytic Banach manifold and its basic properties are studied in Section 9 (see Theorem 9.1 and Proposition 9.2.).

Related work. There is a vast literature on the well-posedness of the incompressible Euler equation (see e.g. [2, 21] and the references therein). Here we only discuss works related to solution of fluid equations in $\mathbb{R}^d$ with various asymptotic or decay conditions at infinity. In [9] Cantor proved that, for initial data u_0 in certain weighted Sobolev spaces decaying at infinity, the solution of the Euler equation also has this decay at infinity. Similar result was proved by A. Constantin for the Camassa-Holm equation in [11]. In a series of papers [3, 4, 5], Bondareva and Shubin proved that the solution map of the KdV equation on the line preserves a class of functions allowing asymptotic expansions at infinity of infinite order. Bondareva and Shubin use a method developed by Menikoff [28]. Menikoff's approach is based on the integrability of the KdV equation and can not be extended to more general equations. A similar result was proved for the modified Korteweg-de Vries equation in [20], by using the properties of the Miura transform and the result of Bondareva and Shubin. Note, however, that neither Cantor nor Menikoff considers functions having asymptotic expansions at infinity. It is worth mentioning that specific spatial decay and even certain asymptotic expansions are known to naturally appear for the solutions of the Navier-Stokes equation with *rapidly decreasing* initial data (see e.g. [15, 8, 7, 23] and the references therein). In dimension one, applications of the asymptotic space $\mathcal{A}^{m,2}_N(\mathbb{R})$ and an analogous space $\mathbb{A}^{m,2}_N(\mathbb{R})$ (with a different remainder term) to the Camassa-Holm and the Degasperis-Procesi equations were obtained in [26]. Finally, note also that there is a vast literature on asymptotic expansions of solutions of several classes of ordinary differential equations (see e.g. [16]).

Organization of the paper. The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we recall the definition of the asymptotic spaces of functions and the groups of asymptotc diffeomorphisms $\mathcal{A}D^{m,p}_{N;0}$ and $\mathring{\mathcal{A}}D^{m,p}_{N;0}$. At the end of this section we formulate Proposition 2.1 and Corollary 2.2, which allows us to use Arnold's approach to fluid dynamics. Section 3 is devoted to the properties of the Laplace operator in asymptotic spaces. In Section 4 we define the Euler vector field on the tangent bundle of the asymptotic group $\mathcal{A}D^{m,p}_{N;0}$. The real-analyticity of this vector field is proved in Section 5 and Section 6. Theorem 1.1 and Proposition 1.1 are proved in Section 7. In Section 8 we prove Proposition 2.1 and Corollary 2.2 formulated in Section 2. Section 9 is devoted to the differential geometry of the subgroup of volume preserving asymptotic diffeomorphisms. In particular, we prove in Section 9 that the exponential map corresponding to the Euler equation,

when restricted to a small open neighborhood of zero, is a real analytic diffeomorphism onto its image. In Appendix A we collect the basic results on the asymptotic spaces that are used in the main body of the paper. In Appendix B we construct two Examples that show the necessity of introducing spatial asymptotic expansions involving log terms.

Acknowledgment. The authors are grateful to Sergei Kuksin and Gerard Misiolek for useful comments on this paper.

2 Asymptotic spaces and diffeomorphism groups

Here we recall the definition of the asymptotic spaces of functions and groups of asymptotic diffeomorphisms that were introduced in [27]. For the convenience of the reader we also summarize some of the basic properties of these spaces in Appendix A.

Asymptotic spaces. Let $\chi(\varrho)$ be a C^∞-smooth cut-off function such that $\chi(\varrho) = 1$ for $\varrho \geq 2$ and $\chi(\varrho) = 0$ for $0 \leq \varrho \leq 1$. For $1 < p < \infty$ and a nonegative integer m, let $H^{m,p}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ denote the space of functions whose weak derivatives up to order m are locally L^p-integrable on $\mathbb{R}^d$. For any $\delta \in \mathbb{R}$ let us define the weighted Sobolev space

$$W^{m,p}_\delta(\mathbb{R}^d) := \big\{ f \in H^{m,p}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d) \,\big|\, \langle x\rangle^{\delta+|\alpha|}\partial^\alpha f \in L^p,\ \forall \alpha \text{ such that } |\alpha| \leq m \big\}, \tag{5}$$

with norm

$$\|f\|_{W^{m,p}_\delta} := \sum_{|\alpha|\leq m} \|\langle x\rangle^{\delta+|\alpha|}\partial^\alpha f\|_{L^p},$$

where $\langle x\rangle := \sqrt{1+|x|}$, $|x|$ is the Euclidean norm of $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $\alpha = (\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_d)$ is a multi-index with $|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + ... + \alpha_d$, and $\partial^\alpha := \partial_1^{\alpha_1}\partial_2^{\alpha_2}\cdots\partial_d^{\alpha_d}$ where ∂_k is the weak partial derivative $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}$. For $m > \frac{d}{p}$ and any integer $N \geq 0$, we can now define the *asymptotic space* $\mathcal{A}^{m,p}_N(\mathbb{R}^d)$ to consist of functions $u \in H^{m,p}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ of the form

$$u(x) = \chi(r)\Big(a_0(\theta) + \frac{a_1(\theta)}{r} + \cdots + \frac{a_N(\theta)}{r^N}\Big) + f(x), \tag{6}$$

where $r = |x|$, $a_k \in H^{m+1+N-k,p}(\mathrm{S}^{d-1})$ and the *remainder* function $f(x)$ belongs to the weighted Sobolev space $W^{m,p}_{\gamma_N}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Here $\gamma_N := N + \gamma_0$ where γ_0 is independent of m and N and is chosen so that $0 < \gamma_0 + d/p < 1$. With γ_N chosen this way, the remainder in (6) satisfies (see Lemma A.1 in Appendix A),

$$f(x) = o\Big(\frac{1}{r^N}\Big) \text{ as } |x| \to \infty\,. \tag{7}$$

Hence, the elements of $\mathcal{A}^{m,p}_N(\mathbb{R}^d)$ satisfy the asymptotic formula (2). When the domain $\mathbb{R}^d$ is understood, we simply write $\mathcal{A}^{m,p}_N$ instead of $\mathcal{A}^{m,p}_N(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

Remark 2.1. *By Lemma A.1 in Appendix A,* (7) *will hold if we only require* $\gamma_N \geq N - \frac{d}{p}$. *The stronger condition on* γ_N *is imposed so that* $\Delta : W^{m+1,p}_{\gamma_N} \to W^{m-1,p}_{\gamma_N+2}$ *has a closed image (see [25]).*

Remark 2.2. *The condition* $a_k \in H^{m+1+N-k,p}(\mathrm{S}^{d-1})$, $k = 0, ..., N$, *may seem at first unnatural. In fact, this condition is crucial for defining groups of asymptotic diffeomorphisms below.*

Assume that $0 \le n \le N$ and denote by $\mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{n,N}$ the closed subspace in $\mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{N}$ defined by the condition that the first n coefficients $a_0, ..., a_{n-1}$ in the asymptotic expansion of $u \in \mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{N}$ vanish. If $n \ge N+1$ we set $\mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{n,N} := W^{m,p}_{\gamma_N}$. The asymptotic space $\mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{n,N}$ is a Banach space with norm,

$$\|u\|_{\mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{n,N}} := \sum_{k=n}^{N} \|a_k\|_{H^{m+1+N-k,p}(\mathrm{S}^{d-1})} + \|f\|_{W^{m,p}_{\gamma_N}}. \tag{8}$$

Now let us discuss how to include *log-terms* in the asymptotic spaces. For $0 \le n \le N$ and an integer $\ell \ge -n$, the space $\mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{n,N;\ell}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is defined in the same way as $\mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{n,N}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ except that formula (6) is now replaced by

$$u(x) = \chi(r)\Big(\frac{a^0_n + \cdots + a^{n+\ell}_n (\log r)^{n+\ell}}{r^n} + \cdots + \frac{a^0_N + \cdots + a^{N+\ell}_N (\log r)^{N+\ell}}{r^N}\Big) + f(x), \tag{9}$$

where $a^j_k \in H^{m+1+N-k}(\mathrm{S}^{d-1})$ for $0 \le j \le k+\ell$ and $0 \le n \le k \le N$, and $f \in W^{m,p}_{\gamma_N}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. In particular, the remainder f satisfies (7). The norm in $\mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{n,N;\ell}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is defined in a similar way as in (8),

$$\|u\|_{\mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{n,N;\ell}} := \sum_{n\le k\le N, 0\le j\le k+\ell} \|a^j_k\|_{H^{m+1+N-k,p}(\mathrm{S}^{d-1})} + \|f\|_{W^{m,p}_{\gamma_N}}.$$

As before, we generally write $\mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{n,N;\ell}$ when the domain $\mathbb{R}^d$ is understood.

Now consider vector fields $\mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{n,N;\ell}(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^d)$ whose components are functions in the asymptotic space $\mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{n,N;\ell}$; when the domain $\mathbb{R}^d$ and the fact that they are vector fields are understood, we again simply write $\mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{n,N;\ell}$. Theorem 1.1 implies that the asymptotic space of vector fields $\mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{N;0} \equiv \mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{0,N;0}$ is preserved by the Euler flow. In order to prove this fact and the stated well-posedness, we will consider the following *groups of asymptotic diffeomorphisms* (or simply, *asymptotic groups*).

Groups of asymptotic diffeomorphisms. Denote by $\mathrm{Diff}^1_+(\mathbb{R}^d)$ the group of orientation preserving C^1-diffeomorphisms of $\mathbb{R}^d$. For any integers $0 \le n \le N$, $-n \le \ell \le 0$, and $m > 1 + \frac{d}{p}$, define

$$\mathcal{A}D^{m,p}_{n,N;\ell} := \mathcal{A}D^{m,p}_{n,N;\ell}(\mathbb{R}^d) := \big\{\varphi \in \mathrm{Diff}^1_+(\mathbb{R}^d) \,\big|\, \varphi(x) = x + w(x),\ w \in \mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{n,N;\ell}\big\}.$$

For simplicity, we write $\mathcal{A}D^{m,p}_{N;\ell}$ for $\mathcal{A}D^{m,p}_{0,N;\ell}$. The differential structure of $\mathcal{A}D^{m,p}_{n,N;\ell}$ is inherited in a natural way from the differential structure of the Banach space $\mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{n,N;\ell}$. In fact, $\mathcal{A}D^{m,p}_{n,N;\ell}$ is an *open subset* in $\mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{n,N;\ell}$. To see this, for any $h \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^d)$ denote

$$[\mathrm{d}_x h] := \left(\frac{\partial h^k}{\partial x_j}(x)\right)_{1\le j,k\le d}$$

the Jacobian matrix of the map $h : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d$. Then any $\varphi = \mathrm{id} + w \in \mathcal{A}D^{m,p}_{n,N;\ell}$ is identified with $w \in \mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{n,N;\ell}$ such that for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $\det\big(\mathrm{Id} + [\mathrm{d}_x w]\big) > 0$,[1] which is an open condition in $\mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{n,N;\ell}$. In this way, $\mathcal{A}D^{m,p}_{n,N;\ell}$ becomes a Banach manifold modeled on $\mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{n,N;\ell}$. Moreover, this allows us

[1] Throughout this paper we use id to represent the identity map and Id to represent the identity matrix.

to identify in a natural way the tangent bundle $T\big(\mathcal{A}D^{m,p}_{N;\ell}\big)$ of $\mathcal{A}D^{m,p}_{N;\ell}$ with the direct product $\mathcal{A}D^{m,p}_{N;\ell} \times \mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{N;\ell}$,

$$T\big(\mathcal{A}D^{m,p}_{N;\ell}\big) \equiv \mathcal{A}D^{m,p}_{N;\ell} \times \mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{N;\ell}\,.$$

In a similar way one also defines the classes of asymptotic diffeomorphisms without log-terms $\mathcal{A}D^{m,p}_{n,N}$ (see [27, Section 2]).

Remark 2.3. *The restriction on ℓ, namely $-n \le \ell \le 0$, that appears in the definition of the asymptotic groups ensures that $\mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{n,N;\ell}$ is a Banach algebra with respect to point-wise multiplication (see Lemma A.2 in Appendix A).*

For the convenience of the reader, we collect below the main results on the groups of asymptotic diffeomorphisms that are used in the paper. The following Theorem is proved in [27].

Theorem 2.1. *Assume that $m > 1 + \frac{d}{p}$, $0 \le n \le N$, and $-n \le \ell \le 0$. Then we have:*

(*a*) *The composition map $(u,\varphi) \mapsto u \circ \varphi$, $\mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{n,N;\ell} \times \mathcal{A}D^{m,p}_{N;\ell} \to \mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{n,N;\ell}$, and the inverse map, $\varphi \mapsto \varphi^{-1}$, $\mathcal{A}D^{m+1,p}_{n,N;\ell} \to \mathcal{A}D^{m+1,p}_{n,N;\ell}$, are continuous;*

(*b*) *The maps $(u,\varphi) \mapsto u \circ \varphi$, $\mathcal{A}^{m+1,p}_{n,N;\ell} \times \mathcal{A}D^{m,p}_{N;\ell} \to \mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{n,N;\ell}$, and $\varphi \mapsto \varphi^{-1}$, $\mathcal{A}D^{m+1,p}_{n,N;\ell} \to \mathcal{A}D^{m,p}_{n,N;\ell}$ are C^1-smooth maps.*

Corollary 2.1. *For $m > 2 + \frac{d}{p}$ the Banach manifold $\mathcal{A}D^{m,p}_{n,N;\ell}$ is a topological group with respect to the composition of diffeomorphisms.*

Remark 2.4. *Note that similar results also hold for $\mathcal{A}D^{m,p}_{n,N}$ (see [27]).*

Remark 2.5. *Generally, regularity $m > 1 + d/p$ is sufficient for a collection of diffeomorphisms of Sobolev class $H^m(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^d)$ (without weights) to form a topological group under composition (see [17], or [14] for the case of a compact manifold). The stronger condition $m > 2 + d/p$ in Corollary 2.1 stems from the fixed point argument used in [27] to prove the existence of inverses when dealing with asymptotics. It should be possible to obtain Corollary 2.1 for $m > 1 + d/p$, but [27] and the present paper are concerned more with the asymptotic structure of solutions than minimal regularity requirements. In fact, for technical reasons (see Remarks 3.4 and 4.2), in the rest of this paper we assume that $\mathbf{m > 3 + \frac{d}{p}}$.*

Volume preserving diffeomorphisms & Asymptotic ODEs. In Section 8 we prove the following

Proposition 2.1. *Assume that $u \in C^0\big([0,T], \mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{n,N;\ell}\big)$ for some $T > 0$, $0 \le n \le N$, and $-n \le \ell \le 0$. Then there exists a unique solution $\varphi \in C^1\big([0,T], \mathcal{A}D^{m,p}_{n,N;\ell}\big)$ of the equation,*

$$\dot\varphi = u \circ \varphi, \quad \varphi|_{t=0} = \mathrm{id}\,.$$

The same result also holds for the asymptotic groups $\mathcal{A}D^{m,p}_{n,N}$.

In addition to the group $\mathcal{A}D^{m,p}_{n,N;\ell}$, we also study the topological subgroup of *volume preserving asymptotic diffeomorphisms*,

$$\mathring{\mathcal{A}}D^{m,p}_{n,N;\ell} := \big\{\varphi \in \mathcal{A}D^{m,p}_{n,N;\ell} \,\big|\, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^d,\, \det[\mathrm{d}_x\varphi] = 1\big\}\,.$$

Denote by $\mathring{\mathcal{A}}^{m,p}_{n,N;\ell}$ the space of *divergence free asymptotic vector fields* in $\mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{n,N;\ell}$,

$$\mathring{\mathcal{A}}^{m,p}_{n,N;\ell} := \left\{ u \in \mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{n,N;\ell} \,\middle|\, \operatorname{div} u = 0 \right\}.$$

Clearly, $\mathring{\mathcal{A}}^{m,p}_{n,N;\ell}$ is a closed subspace in $\mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{n,N;\ell}$.

As a consequence of Proposition 2.1 we also obtain in Section 8 the following

Corollary 2.2. *Assume that $u \in C^0\big([0,T], \mathring{\mathcal{A}}^{m,p}_{n,N;\ell}\big)$ for some $T > 0$, $0 \le n \le N$, and $-n \le \ell \le 0$. Then there exists a unique solution $\varphi \in C^1\big([0,T], \mathring{\mathcal{A}}D^{m,p}_{n,N;\ell}\big)$ of the equation,*

$$\dot{\varphi} = u \circ \varphi, \quad \varphi|_{t=0} = \mathrm{id}\,.$$

Remark 2.6. *We prove in Section 9 that $\mathring{\mathcal{A}}D^{m,p}_{n,N;0}$ is a real analytic submanifold in $\mathcal{A}D^{m,p}_{n,N;0}$ (Theorem 9.1). However, note that this result is not used in the proof of Theorem 1.1.*

Remark 2.7. *The same results also hold for the topological subgroup of volume preserving asymptotic diffeomorphisms without log-terms $\mathring{\mathcal{A}}D^{m,p}_{n,N}$, that is defined in a similar way as above.*

Proposition 2.1 and Corollary 2.2 allow us to define the *Lie group exponential map* on $\mathcal{A}D^{m,p}_{n,N;\ell}$. More notably, these two statements allow us to use Arnold's approach to fluid dynamics [1, 14, 6] and to re-write the Euler equation (1) as a dynamical system on the tangent bundle $T\big(\mathcal{A}D^{m,p}_{0,N;0}\big) \equiv \mathcal{A}D^{m,p}_{0,N;0} \times \mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{0,N;0}$ of the asymptotic group $\mathcal{A}D^{m,p}_{0,N;0}$. In order to do this we first need to study in more detail the properties of the Laplace operator in asymptotic spaces.

3 The Laplace operator in asymptotic spaces

In this section we study the (Euclidean) Laplace operator $\Delta := \sum_{k=1}^{d} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_k^2}$ acting on asymptotic spaces in $\mathbb{R}^d$. At the end of the section we prove several properties of the right translation.

It follows from Lemma A.2 in Appendix A that for any $0 \le n \le N$, $l \ge -n$ the mapping $\Delta : \mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{n,N;\ell} \to \mathcal{A}^{m-2,p}_{n+2,N+2;\ell-2}$ is bounded. Let us consider the special case, $n = 1$, $\ell = -1$, and replace N by $N+1$. Since the functions in $\mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{1,N+1;-1}$ are bounded on $\mathbb{R}^d$ and vanish at infinity, the operator

$$\Delta : \mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{1,N+1;-1} \to \mathcal{A}^{m-2,p}_{3,N+3;-3}$$

is injective. To obtain a surjective map, we need to enlarge the domain space. Below we will define a space $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}^{m,p}_{1,N+1;-1} \supseteq \mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{1,N+1;-1}$ for which

$$\Delta : \widehat{\mathcal{A}}^{m,p}_{1,N+1;-1} \to \mathcal{A}^{m-2,p}_{3,N+3;-3} \tag{10}$$

is an isomorphism. The functions that we add are of the form

$$u^j_k := \chi(r)\, \frac{e^j_k(\theta)(\log r)^k}{r^k}\,, \text{ for } k = \max\{1, d-2\}, ..., N+1 \text{ and } j = 1, \dots, s_k, \tag{11}$$

where $s_k \ge 1$ denotes the multiplicity of the eigenvalue $\lambda_k := k(k+2-d) \ge 0$ of the Laplace-Beltrami operator $-\Delta_S$ on the unit sphere S^{d-1}, and $\{e^j_k\}_{j=1}^{s_k}$ is a basis for the corresponding eigenspace.

Remark 3.1. *For integer $k \geq d-2$ the quadratic expression $\lambda_k = k(k+2-d)$ is non-negative and enumerates the eigenvalues of the "positive" Laplace-Beltrami operator $-\Delta_S$. These eigenvalues are usually enumerated by the quadratic expression $\mu_l = l(l+d-2)$, $l \geq 0$.*

In order to compute Δ on these functions, we use the following formulas: for integers $k \geq 0$ and $l \geq 2$,

$$\Delta\Big(\tfrac{a(\theta)(\log r)^l}{r^k}\Big) = \tfrac{l(l-1)a(\theta)(\log r)^{l-2} - l(2k+2-d)a(\theta)(\log r)^{l-1} + \Big((\Delta_S a)(\theta) + k(k+2-d)a(\theta)\Big)(\log r)^l}{r^{k+2}}\,, \tag{12}$$

while for $l = 1$ and $l = 0$ one has respectively,

$$\Delta\Big(\frac{a(\theta)\log r}{r^k}\Big) = \frac{-(2k+2-d)a(\theta) + \big((\Delta_S\, a)(\theta) + k(k+2-d)a(\theta)\big)\log r}{r^{k+2}} \tag{13}$$

and

$$\Delta\Big(\frac{a(\theta)}{r^k}\Big) = \frac{(\Delta_S\, a)(\theta) + k(k+2-d)a(\theta)}{r^{k+2}}\,. \tag{14}$$

Now if we replace $a(\theta)$ by an eigenfunction $e_k^j(\theta)$ and let $l = k$ as in (11), then all the terms involving Δ_S, i.e. the highest power of $\log r$, drop out and we find

$$\Delta(u_k^j) \in \mathcal{A}^{m-2,p}_{3,N+3;-3}\,. \tag{15}$$

For $d \geq 3$, let us define

$$\widehat{\mathcal{A}}^{m,p}_{1,N+1;-1} := \mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{1,N+1;-1} \oplus \operatorname*{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\big\{u_k^j \,\big|\, 1 \leq j \leq s_k,\ d-2 \leq k \leq N+1\big\} \tag{16a}$$

and observe that

$$\widehat{\mathcal{A}}^{m,p}_{1,N+1;-1} \subseteq \mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{1,N+1;0}. \tag{16b}$$

As a consequence of (15), we have that (10) is well-defined and bounded. Moreover, (10) is an injection since $k \geq d-2 \geq 1$ implies that all the u_k^j vanish at infinity. The proposition below will show that (10) is in fact an isomorphism.

When $d = 2$, convolution by the fundamental solution even on functions with compact support can generate a log-term, so we need to replace $\mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{1,N+1;-1}$ by the asymptotic space,

$$\mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{1^*,N+1;-1} := \operatorname*{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\big\{\chi(r)\log r\big\} \oplus \mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{1,N+1;-1}\,. \tag{17}$$

Now we define for $d = 2$,

$$\widehat{\mathcal{A}}^{m,p}_{1,N+1;-1} := \mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{1^*,N+1;-1} \oplus \operatorname*{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\big\{u_k^j \,\big|\, 1 \leq j \leq s_k,\ 1 \leq k \leq N+1\big\}, \tag{18a}$$

and observe that

$$\widehat{\mathcal{A}}^{m,p}_{1,N+1;-1} \subseteq \mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{1^*,N+1;0}, \quad \mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{1^*,N+1;0} := \operatorname*{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\big\{\chi(r)\log r\big\} \oplus \mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{1,N+1;0}. \tag{18b}$$

Since $\Delta : \mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{1^*,N+1;-1} \to \mathcal{A}^{m-2,p}_{3,N+3;-3}$, we can again use (15) to conclude that (10) is well-defined and bounded. For $d = 2$, functions in $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}^{m,p}_{1,N+1;-1}$ need not vanish at infinity; in fact, by (17) they

can grow logarithmically at infinity. However, the only harmonic functions on $\mathbb{R}^d$ with at most logarithmic growth are constants, and $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}^{m,p}_{1,N+1;-1}$ has been purposely defined to not contain the constants. Hence (10) is also injective for $d = 2$.

The following confirms that (10) is an isomorphism.

Proposition 3.1. *For any $d \geq 2$, the map $\Delta : \widehat{\mathcal{A}}^{m,p}_{1,N+1;-1} \to \mathcal{A}^{m-2,p}_{3,N+3;-3}$ is an isomorphism. If $d = 2$, then the leading term in the asymptotics of $\Delta^{-1}(u)$, for $u \in \mathcal{A}^{m-2,p}_{3,N+3;-3}$, is*

$$\frac{1}{2\pi}\, M(u)\, \chi(r) \log r, \tag{19}$$

where $M(u) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} u(x)\, \mathrm{d}x$.

Remark 3.2. *As $e^j_k(\theta)$, $k = d-2, \dots, N+1$, $j = 1, \dots, s_k$, are eigenfunctions of the Laplace-Beltrami operator on the unit sphere, we see that they are polynomial in $\theta \equiv \frac{x}{|x|}$. Moreover, for $k = d-2$ we have $s_{d-2} = 1$ and $e^1_{d-2} = \text{const}$ (cf. [32]). Note also that, for any $d \geq 2$, the space $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}^{m,p}_{1,N+1;-1}$ does not have asymptotically constant terms of the form $\chi(r) \cdot \text{const}$, with $\text{const} \neq 0$.*

Proof of Proposition 3.1. By the open mapping theorem, we need only show that the map $\Delta : \widehat{\mathcal{A}}^{m,p}_{1,N+1;-1} \to \mathcal{A}^{m-2,p}_{3,N+3;-3}$ is surjective, i.e. for any $u \in \mathcal{A}^{m-2,p}_{3,N+3;-3}$ we have $u \in \mathcal{I} := \Delta(\widehat{\mathcal{A}}^{m,p}_{1,N+1;-1})$. Without loss of generality we can assume that

$$u = \chi(r)\, \frac{a^l_{k+2}(\theta)(\log r)^l}{r^{k+2}} + f, \quad f \in W^{m-2,p}_{\gamma_{N+3}}, \quad a^l_{k+2} \in H^{m-1+(N+3)-(k+2)}(\mathrm{S}^{d-1}),$$

where $1 \leq k \leq N+1$ and $0 \leq l \leq k-1$. For fixed $1 \leq k \leq N+1$, we will use induction in l to show $u \in \mathcal{I}$. First consider $l = 0$, i.e.

$$u = \chi(r)\, \frac{a^0_{k+2}(\theta)}{r^{k+2}} + f, \quad f \in W^{m-2,p}_{\gamma_{N+3}}, \quad a^l_{k+2} \in H^{m-1+(N+3)-(k+2)}(\mathrm{S}^{d-1}).$$

If a^0_{k+2} is not an eigenfunction of $-\Delta_S$ with eigenvalue $\lambda_k = k(k+2-d)$ then there exists $b^0_k \in H^{m+1+(N+1)-k}(\mathrm{S}^{d-1})$ such that $(\Delta_S + \lambda_k)\, b^0_k = a^0_{k+2}$. In this case, formula (14) implies that

$$u = \chi\Delta\big(b^0_k/r^k\big) + f = \Delta\big(\chi b^0_k/r^k\big) + \tilde{f}, \quad \text{where } \tilde{f} \in W^{m-2,p}_{\gamma_{N+3}}.$$

By Lemma A.3 in Appendix A we know $\tilde{f} = \Delta K \tilde{f} = \Delta v$, where $v \in \widehat{\mathcal{A}}^{m,p}_{1,N+1,-1}$ (in fact, $v \in \mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{d-2,N+1}$ if $d \geq 3$, and $v \in \mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{1^*,N+1}$ if $d = 2$). This means that $u \in \mathcal{I}$. On the other hand, if a^0_{k+2} is an eigenfunction of $-\Delta_S$ with eigenvalue λ_k,[2] we see from (13) above that

$$u = \frac{\chi}{d-2-2k}\, \Delta\left(\frac{a^0_{k+2}\log r}{r^k}\right) + f = \Delta\left(\frac{\chi\, a^0_{k+2}\log r}{(d-2-2k)\, r^k}\right) + \tilde{f}, \text{ where } \tilde{f} \in W^{m-2,p}_{\gamma_{N+3}}.$$

(Note that $d-2-2k \neq 0$ since $k \geq \max\{1, d-2\}$.) But we claim that $\chi\, a^0_{k+2} \log r/r^k \in \widehat{\mathcal{A}}^{m,p}_{1,N+1;-1}$: if $k = 1$ this is true since $\chi\, a^0_3 \log r/r$ is a linear combination of $\{u^j_1\}_{j=1}^{s_1}$, while for $1 < k \leq N+1$ it

[2] As the eigenvalues of $-\Delta_S$ are non-negative, this could happen only if $k \geq d-2$.

is true since $a^0_{k+2} \in C^\infty(\mathrm{S}^{d-1})$ implies $\chi\, a^0_{k+2} \log r / r^k \in \mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{1,N+1;-1}$. Using Lemma A.3 as before, we again have $u \in \mathcal{I}$. This completes the first step of the induction.

Now fix $0 < l_0 \le k-1$, and assume that $u \in \mathcal{I}$ for any $0 \le l < l_0$. Consider

$$u = \chi(r)\, \frac{a^{l_0}_{k+2}(\theta)(\log r)^{l_0}}{r^{k+2}} + f, \quad f \in W^{m-2,p}_{\gamma_{N+3}}, \quad a^{l_0}_{k+2} \in H^{m-1+(N+3)-(k+2)}(\mathrm{S}^{d-1})\,.$$

If $a^{l_0}_{k+2}$ is not an eigenfunction of $-\Delta_S$ with eigenvalue λ_k, we can find $b^{l_0}_k \in H^{m+1+(N+1)-k}(\mathrm{S}^{d-1})$ satisfying $(\Delta_S + \lambda_k)\, b^{l_0}_k = a^{l_0}_{k+2}$. Assuming $l_0 \ge 2$, we use (12) to write

$$u = \Delta\left(\frac{\chi\, b^{l_0}_k (\log r)^{l_0}}{r^k}\right) + \tilde f + \chi\, l_0\, b^{l_0}_k \left(\frac{(2k+2-d)(\log r)^{l_0-1} - (l_0-1)(\log r)^{l_0-2}}{r^{k+2}}\right),$$

where $\tilde f \in W^{m-2,p}_{\gamma_{N+3}}$. (If $l_0 = 1$, we use (13) to get a similar, but simpler, expression.) By the induction hypothesis, the third term on the right is in $\mathcal{I}$, and we can again use Lemma A.3 to conclude that the second term on the right is in $\mathcal{I}$; hence $u \in \mathcal{I}$. Otherwise, when $a^{l_0}_{k+2}$ is an eigenfunction of $-\Delta_S$ with eigenvalue λ_k, we obtain from formula (12) that

$$u = \Delta\left(\frac{\chi\, a^{l_0}_{k+2}(\log r)^{l_0+1}}{(l_0+1)(d-2-2k)\, r^k}\right) + \tilde f + \frac{\chi\, l_0\, a^{l_0}_{k+2}(\log r)^{l_0-1}}{(2k+2-d)\, r^{k+2}},$$

where $\tilde f \in W^{m-2,p}_{\gamma_{N+3}}$. (Again recall $2k+2-d \neq 0$.) By the induction hypothesis, the third term on the right is in $\mathcal{I}$. We also claim that $\chi\, a^{l_0}_{k+2}(\log r)^{l_0+1}/r^k \in \widehat{\mathcal{A}}^{m,p}_{1,N+1;-1}$: if $l_0 + 1 = k$, this is true since $\chi\, a^{l_0}_{k+2}(\log r)^k / r^k$ is a linear combination of $\{u^j_k\}_{j=1}^{s_k}$, while for $l_0 + 1 < k$ it is true since $a^{l_0}_{k+2} \in C^\infty(S^{d-1})$ implies $\chi\, a^{l_0}_{k+2}(\log r)^{l_0+1}/r^k \in \mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{1,N+1;-1}$. Using Lemma A.3 again, we conclude that $u \in \mathcal{I}$. This completes the induction, and hence the proof of surjectivity.

Let us now prove the second statement of the Proposition. By Green's identity, for any $3 < R < \infty$

$$\iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} \Delta\big(\chi(r)\log r\big)\,\mathrm{d}x = \iint_{\{|x|\le R\}} \Delta\big(\chi(r)\log r\big)\,\mathrm{d}x = \int_{\{|x|=R\}} \frac{\partial}{\partial r}\big(\log r\big)\,\mathrm{d}s = 2\pi \tag{20}$$

where $\mathrm{d}s$ is the length form on the circle $\big\{x \in \mathbb{R}^2 \,\big|\, |x| = R\big\}$. Similarly, for any $f \in \mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{1,N+1;0}$ we have

$$\iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} \Delta f\,\mathrm{d}x = \lim_{R\to\infty} \int_{\{|x|=R\}} (\partial f/\partial r)\,\mathrm{d}s = 0 \tag{21}$$

as $\partial f/\partial r = O(1/r^2)$. Now, take an arbitrary $u \in \mathcal{A}^{m-2,p}_{3,N+3;-3}$. We already proved that $\Delta^{-1}(u) \in \widehat{\mathcal{A}}^{m,p}_{1,N+1;-1}$. Hence,

$$\Delta^{-1}(u) = c\,\chi(r)\log r + f$$

where $f \in \mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{1,N+1;0}$. This gives, $u = c\,\Delta\big(\chi(r\log r\big) + \Delta f$. By integrating this equality over $\mathbb{R}^2$ we see, in view of (20) and (21), that $M(u) = 2\pi c$. This completes the proof of Proposition 3.1. □

Remark 3.3. *Define*

$$\widehat{\mathcal{A}}^{m,p}_{0,N;0} := \mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{\widetilde{0},N;0} \oplus \operatorname*{span}_{\mathbb{R}} \left\{ v^j_k \,\middle|\, 1 \le j \le s_k, d-2 \le k \le N \right\}$$

where $v^1_0 := \chi(r)(\log r)^2$ *and* $v^j_k := \chi(r) e^j_k(\theta)(\log r)^{k+1}/r^k$ *for* $1 \le j \le s_k$, $1 \le k \le N$, *and, for* $d \ge 3$,

$$\mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{\widetilde{0},N;0} := \mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{[0],N;0}, \quad \textit{where} \quad \mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{[0],N;0} := \Big\{ u \in \mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{0,N;0} \,\Big|\, \int_{\omega \in \mathrm{S}^{d-1}} a_0(\omega)\, \mathrm{d}s(\omega) = 0 \Big\},$$

and, for $d = 2$,

$$\mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{\widetilde{0},N;0} := \operatorname*{span}_{\mathbb{R}} \left\{ \chi(r) \log r \right\} \oplus \mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{[0],N;0}\,.$$

Clearly, $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}^{m,p}_{0,N;0} \subseteq \mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{0,N;2}$. *The arguments used in the proof of Proposition 3.1 imply that*

$$\Delta : \widehat{\mathcal{A}}^{m,p}_{0,N;0} \to \mathcal{A}^{m-2,p}_{2,N+2;-2}, \tag{22}$$

is an isomorphism. Moreover we have the following commutative diagram,

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{A}^{m+1,p}_{0,N;2} & \supseteq & \widehat{\mathcal{A}}^{m+1,p}_{0,N;0} & \overset{\Delta}{\to} & \mathcal{A}^{m-1,p}_{2,N+2;-2} \\ \partial_j \downarrow & & \partial_j \downarrow & & \partial_j \downarrow \\ \mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{1,N+1;1} & \supseteq & \widehat{\mathcal{A}}^{m,p}_{1,N+1;-1} & \overset{\Delta}{\to} & \mathcal{A}^{m-2,p}_{3,N+3;-3} \end{array}$$

where the downward arrow denotes the partial differentiation with respect to the j*-th variable in* $\mathbb{R}^d$. *In particular, we see that* Δ^{-1} *commutes with* $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}$, *where* Δ^{-1} *denotes the inverse of the maps* Δ *appearing in the diagram above.*

In what follows, we will denote by Δ^{-1} the inverse of (10) or (22), depending on the context.

For any $\varphi \in \mathcal{A}D^{m,p}_{0,N;0}$ consider the *conjugate Laplace* operator,

$$\Delta_\varphi := R_\varphi \circ \Delta \circ R_{\varphi^{-1}} \tag{23}$$

where $R_\varphi v$ denotes the *right-translation* of a function v by φ, $R_\varphi v := v \circ \varphi$. We begin by studying properties of R_φ acting on asymptotic spaces.

Lemma 3.1. *Let* $a(\theta) = a(\theta_1, ..., \theta_d)$ *be a polynomial function in the variables* $(\theta_1, ..., \theta_d)$. *Take* $\theta \equiv \frac{x}{|x|}$ *and consider the homogeneous of degree zero function* $a(\theta)$ *in* x. *Then for any* $\varphi \in \mathcal{A}D^{m,p}_{0,N;0}$, $k \ge 0$ *and* $\ell \ge -k$, *and* $N^- < N$,

$$\Big(\chi(r)\, \frac{a(\theta)(\log r)^{k+\ell}}{r^k} \Big) \circ \varphi - \chi(r)\, \frac{a(\theta)(\log r)^{k+\ell}}{r^k} \in \mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{k+1,N^-+k+1;\ell-1}\,.$$

In the case when $k + \ell = 0$, *the statement above is true also with* $N^- = N$. *Moreover,*

$$\big(\chi(r) \log r \big) \circ \varphi - \chi(r) \log r \in \mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{1,N+1;-1}\,.$$

Proof. Take $\varphi \in \mathcal{A}D^{m,p}_{0,N;0}$. Then $\varphi(x) = x + w(x)$ where $w \in \mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{0,N;0}$. Let us first consider the composition $r \circ \varphi$. We have,

$$\begin{aligned}(r\circ\varphi)(x) &= |x + w(x)| = r\left|\theta + \frac{w(x)}{r}\right| \\ &= r\sqrt{1 + 2\big(\theta, w/r\big) + \big(w/r, w/r\big)}\,, \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

where $(\cdot,\cdot)$ denotes the Euclidean scalar product in $\mathbb{R}^d$. In view of Lemma A.4 (b) in Appendix A,

$$w/r \in \mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{1,N+1;-1}(B^c_R), \quad R > 0,$$

where $B^c_R := \big\{x \in \mathbb{R}^d \,\big|\, |x| > R\big\}$ and the space $\mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{1,N+1;-1}(B^c_R)$ equipped with the norm (75) is defined in Appendix A. As $w/r = o(1)$ as $|x| \to \infty$ we can choose $r_0 > 0$ such that $|w(x)/r| < 1/4$ for any $|x| > r_0$. Then for any $|x| > r_0$ we have $\big|2\big(\theta, w/r\big) + \big(w/r, w/r\big)\big| < 3/4$, and hence in view of (24),

$$r\circ\varphi = r\left(1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k\Big(2\big(\theta, w/r\big) + \big(w/r, w/r\big)\Big)^k\right), \tag{25}$$

where the series converges uniformly in $|x| > r_0$, and, by Cauchy's estimate, $|c_k| \le \text{const}\,(4/3)^k$ for some constant independent of $k \ge 1$. Denote

$$\widetilde{w} := 2\big(\theta, w/r\big) + \big(w/r, w/r\big).$$

It follows from Lemma A.4 (b) and Lemma A.2 that

$$\widetilde{w} \in \mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{1,N+1;-1}(B^c_R)\,.$$

(As $\theta \in \mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{0,N+1;0}(B^c_R)$ and $w/r \in \mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{1,N+1;-1}(B^c_R)$ we have from Lemma A.4 (b) and Lemma A.2 (d') that $(\theta, w/r) \in \mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{1,N+1;-1}(B^c_R)$.) Moreover, in view of (25) we have

$$r\circ\varphi = r\Big(1 + \sum_{k=1}^{N+1} c_k\widetilde{w}^k + \sum_{k\ge N+2} c_k\widetilde{w}^{N+2}\widetilde{w}^{k-N-2}\Big)\,. \tag{26}$$

By Lemma A.4 (b) and Lemma A.2 we have $\sum_{k=1}^{N+1} c_k\widetilde{w}^k \in \mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{1,N+1;-1}(B^c_R)$. Further, note that by Lemma A.4 (b) and Lemma A.2 (a) we have that $\widetilde{w}^k \in \mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{k,N+k;-k}(B^c_R) \subseteq W^{m,p}_{\gamma_{N+1}}(B^c_R)$ for any $k \ge N+2$. This, together with Lemma A.4 (c) then implies that we can choose $r_0 > 0$ larger if necessary so that, for any $R > r_0 > 0$ and for any $k \ge N+2$,

$$\begin{aligned}\big\|\widetilde{w}^{N+2}\widetilde{w}^{k-N-2}\big\|_{\mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{1,N+1;-1}(B^c_R)} &\le \|\widetilde{w}^{N+2}\|_{\mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{1,N+1;-1}(B^c_R)}\big(C\|\widetilde{w}\|_{\mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{1,N+1;-1}(B^c_R)}\big)^{k-N-2} \\ &< \|\widetilde{w}^{N+2}\|_{\mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{1,N+1;-1}(B^c_R)}\big(3/4\big)^{k-N-2}, \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

where $C > 0$ is the constant in Lemma A.4 (c) and where we used that $\|\widetilde{w}\|_{\mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{1,N+1;-1}(B^c_R)} \to 0$ as $R \to \infty$. Inequality (27) implies that the series in (26) converges in $\mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{1,N+1;-1}(B^c_R)$. Hence, for any $R > r_0 > 0$,

$$r\circ\varphi = r\,(1 + \tilde{r}), \quad \tilde{r} \in \mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{1,N+1;-1}(B^c_R)\,. \tag{28}$$

Using (28) and arguing in a similar way as above, we see that, for $r_0 > 0$, taken larger if necessary, and for any $R > r_0 > 0$,

$$(\log r) \circ \varphi = \log r + \tilde{p}, \quad \tilde{p} \in \mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{1,N+1;-1}(B^c_R), \tag{29}$$

$$\theta \circ \varphi - \theta \in \mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{1,N+1;-1}(B^c_R). \tag{30}$$

As $a(\theta)$ is assumed a polynomial function of θ we obtain from (30) that

$$a(\theta) \circ \varphi - a(\theta) \in \mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{1,N+1;-1}(B^c_R)\,. \tag{31}$$

It follows from (28)–(31) and the Banach algebra property of $\mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{1,N+1;-1}(B^c_R)$ (see Lemma A.4 (b)) that, for some $R > \max\{r_0, 2\}$,

$$\Big(\frac{a(\theta)(\log r)^{k+\ell}}{r^k}\Big) \circ \varphi - \frac{a(\theta)(\log r)^{k+\ell}}{r^k} \in \mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{k+1,N^-+k+1;\ell-1}(B^c_R)$$

for any $N^- < N$, and for $N^- = N$ if $k + \ell = 0$. Finally, take $R' > R$, $\varphi \in \mathcal{A}D^{m,p}_{0,N;0}$, and $\zeta \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with compact support so that $\zeta|_{\varphi(B_{R'})} \equiv 1$, where $B_{R'}$ is the open ball of radius R' in $\mathbb{R}^d$ centered at the origin. As $\zeta\chi\,\frac{a(\theta)(\log r)^{k+\ell}}{r^k}$ is C^∞-smooth with compact support we have from Theorem 2.1 that $\Big(\zeta\chi\,\frac{a(\theta)(\log r)^{k+\ell}}{r^k}\Big) \circ \varphi \in \mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{0,N;0}$. This, together with the choice of ζ, then implies

$$\Big(\chi\,\frac{a(\theta)(\log r)^{k+\ell}}{r^k}\Big) \circ \varphi\Big|_{B_{R'}} = \Big(\zeta\,\chi\,\frac{a(\theta)(\log r)^{k+\ell}}{r^k}\Big) \circ \varphi\Big|_{B_{R'}} \in H^{m,p}(B_{R'}).$$

The conclusion in the Lemma then follows from Lemma A.4 (a). The last statement of the Lemma follows in the same way from (29). □

As a consequence of this Lemma we get

Corollary 3.1. *Assume that $1 + \frac{d}{p} < m_0 \le m$ and $n \ge 0$. Then for any $\varphi \in \mathcal{A}D^{m,p}_{0,N;0}$ we have $R_\varphi(\mathcal{A}^{m_0,p}_{n,N+n;-n}) \subseteq \mathcal{A}^{m_0,p}_{n,N+n;-n}$ and the map*

$$R_\varphi : \mathcal{A}^{m_0,p}_{n,N+n;-n} \to \mathcal{A}^{m_0,p}_{n,N+n;-n}$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof. Take $\varphi \in \mathcal{A}D^{m,p}_{0,N;0} \subseteq \mathcal{A}D^{m_0,p}_{0,N;0}$. In view of Lemma 3.1 there exists $R > 0$ such that

$$\frac{1}{(r \circ \varphi)^n} = \frac{1}{r^n}\big(1 + \tilde{r}\big), \quad \tilde{r} \in \mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{0,N;0}(B^c_R)\,.$$

Take $v \in \mathcal{A}^{m_0,p}_{n,N+n;-n}$. Then for $|x| > R$ we can write $v = \tilde{v}/r^n$ where $\tilde{v} \in \mathcal{A}^{m_0,p}_{0,N;0}(B^c_R)$. By taking $R > 0$ larger if necessary, we see that

$$v \circ \varphi = \frac{1}{(r \circ \varphi)^n}\,\tilde{v} \circ \varphi = \big(\tilde{v} \circ \varphi + \tilde{r} \cdot \tilde{v} \circ \varphi\big)/r^n, \tag{32}$$

where $\tilde{r} \in \mathcal{A}^{m_0,p}_{0,N;0}(B^c_R)$ and $\tilde{v} \circ \varphi \in \mathcal{A}^{m_0,p}_{0,N;0}(B^c_R)$ in view of Theorem 2.1 and Lemma A.4 (a). This and Lemma A.4 (b) then imply that $v \circ \varphi \in \mathcal{A}^{m_0,p}_{n,N+n;-n}(B^c_R)$. Arguing as in the last paragraph

of the proof of Lemma 3.1, we conclude from Lemma A.4 (a) that $v \circ \varphi \in \mathcal{A}^{m_0,p}_{n,N+n;-n}$. The boundedness of the map $R_\varphi : \mathcal{A}^{m_0,p}_{n,N+n;-n} \to \mathcal{A}^{m_0,p}_{n,N+n;-n}$ follows from (32), Lemma 3.1, Lemma A.4 (a) and (b), and Theorem 2.1. Clearly, this map also is invertible with bounded inverse $R_{\varphi^{-1}} : \mathcal{A}^{m_0,p}_{n,N+n;-n} \to \mathcal{A}^{m_0,p}_{n,N+n;-n}$. □

Corollary 3.2. *For any $\varphi \in \mathcal{A}D^{m,p}_{0,N;0}$, we have $R_\varphi(\widehat{\mathcal{A}}^{m,p}_{1,N+1;-1}) \subseteq \widehat{\mathcal{A}}^{m,p}_{1,N+1;-1}$, and the map*

$$R_\varphi : \widehat{\mathcal{A}}^{m,p}_{1,N+1;-1} \to \widehat{\mathcal{A}}^{m,p}_{1,N+1;-1}$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof. Take $\varphi \in \mathcal{A}D^{m,p}_{0,N;0}$ and $v \in \widehat{\mathcal{A}}^{m,p}_{1,N+1;-1}$. Then by (16a), (17), and (18a), v can be uniquely decomposed,

$$v = v_1 + v_2,$$

where $v_1 \in \mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{1,N+1;-1}$ and v_2 is a linear combination with constant coefficients of the functions

$$u^j_k = \chi(r)\,\frac{e^j_k(\theta)(\log r)^k}{r^k}, \quad \max\{1, d-2\} \le k \le N+1, \quad 1 \le j \le s_k,$$

and the function $u^1_0 = \chi(r)\log r$ when $d = 2$. As the coefficients $e^j_k(\theta)$ are polynomial functions of $\theta \equiv \frac{x}{|x|}$, we can apply Lemma 3.1 to conclude that for $\max\{1, d-2\} \le k \le N+1$,

$$u^j_k \circ \varphi = u^j_k + \tilde{u}^j_k, \quad \tilde{u}^j_k \in \mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{1,N+1;-1}, \tag{33}$$

and, for $d = 2$,

$$u^1_0 \circ \varphi = u^1_0 + \tilde{u}^1_0, \quad \tilde{u}^1_0 \in \mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{1,N+1;-1}\,. \tag{34}$$

In view of Corollary 3.1, we have $v_1 \circ \varphi \in \mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{1,N+1;-1}$ and the map $v_1 \mapsto v_1 \circ \varphi$, $\mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{1,N+1;-1} \to \mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{1,N+1;-1}$, is bounded. The projection $v \mapsto v_1$ is also bounded. This together with (33) and (34) then implies the statement of the Corollary. □

Corollary 3.1 and Corollary 3.2 then give

Corollary 3.3. *For any given $\varphi \in \mathcal{A}D^{m,p}_{0,N;0}$,*

$$\Delta_\varphi : \widehat{\mathcal{A}}^{m,p}_{1,N+1;-1} \to \mathcal{A}^{m-2,p}_{3,N+3;-3}$$

is an isomorphism with inverse $(\Delta_\varphi)^{-1} = R_\varphi \circ \Delta^{-1} \circ R_{\varphi^{-1}}$, where $\Delta^{-1} : \mathcal{A}^{m-2,p}_{3,N+3;-3} \to \widehat{\mathcal{A}}^{m,p}_{1,N+1;-1}$ is the inverse of the map (10).

Remark 3.4. *Here we use the condition $m > 3 + \frac{d}{p}$ when applying Corollary 3.1.*

4 The Euler vector field

In this section we associate to the Euler equation (1) a dynamical system on the tangent bundle $T\big(\mathcal{A}D^{m,p}_{0,N;0}\big) \equiv \mathcal{A}D^{m,p}_{0,N;0} \times \mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{0,N;0}$ of the asymptotic group $\mathcal{A}D^{m,p}_{0,N;0}$. The regularity of this dynamical system and its relation to the Euler equation is discussed in the following sections.

Let us first discuss the notion of solution. Take $u_0 \in \mathring{\mathcal{A}}^{m,p}_{0,N;0} \subseteq \mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{0,N;0}$ and recall that the subspace $\mathring{\mathcal{A}}^{m,p}_{0,N;0}$ is closed in $\mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{0,N;0}$. We say that $u \in C^0\big([0,T], \mathring{\mathcal{A}}^{m,p}_{0,N;0}\big) \cap C^1\big([0,T], \mathring{\mathcal{A}}^{m-1,p}_{0,N;0}\big)$ is a *solution* of the Euler equation if u satisfies (1) for some $\mathrm{p} \in C^0\big([0,T], S'(\mathbb{R}^d)\big)$, where $S'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is the space of tempered distributions in $\mathbb{R}^d$. In this way, the pressure term $\mathrm{p} \in C^0\big([0,T], S'(\mathbb{R}^d)\big)$ is not considered a part of the solution.

Assume that $u \in C^0\big([0,T], \mathring{\mathcal{A}}^{m,p}_{0,N;0}\big) \cap C^1\big([0,T], \mathring{\mathcal{A}}^{m-1,p}_{0,N;0}\big)$ is a solution of the Euler equation (1). Applying the divergence div to both sides of equation (1), we obtain

$$\operatorname{div}\big(u \cdot \nabla u\big) = -\Delta \mathrm{p}\,. \tag{35}$$

In view of the Sobolev embedding $\mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{0,N;0} \subseteq C^2$ and the product rule we have,

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{div}\big(u \cdot \nabla u\big) &= \operatorname{tr}\big([\mathrm{d}u]^2\big) + u \cdot \nabla\big(\operatorname{div} u\big) && (36)\\ &= \operatorname{tr}\big([\mathrm{d}u]^2\big), && (37) \end{aligned}$$

where tr is the trace and $[\mathrm{d}u]^2$ is the square of the Jacobian matrix $[\mathrm{d}u]$. (Relation (37) is standard and appears e.g. in [6]; although quite simple, it is crucial for our approach.) Combining (35) with (37) we see that $-\Delta\big(\nabla \mathrm{p}\big) = \nabla \circ Q(u)$ where

$$Q(u) := \operatorname{tr}\big([\mathrm{d}u]^2\big)\,. \tag{38}$$

In view of Lemma A.2 (c) and (d'),

$$Q : \mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{0,N;0} \to \mathcal{A}^{m-1,p}_{2,N+2;-2}$$

and this map is real-analytic. This, together with Proposition 3.1, then implies that

$$-\nabla \mathrm{p} = \Delta^{-1} \circ \nabla \circ Q(u), \tag{39}$$

where $\Delta^{-1} : \mathcal{A}^{m-2,p}_{3,N+3;-3} \to \widehat{\mathcal{A}}^{m,p}_{1,N+1;-1}$ is a bounded map. (The space $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}^{m,p}_{1,N+1;-1}$ was defined in Section 3 – see (16a) and (18a).) Plugging (39) into (1) we obtain

$$u_t + u \cdot \nabla u = \Delta^{-1} \circ \nabla \circ Q(u), \quad u|_{t=0} = u_0. \tag{40}$$

Using that Δ^{-1} and ∇ commute (Remark 3.3) we see that we can set $\mathrm{p} := -\Delta^{-1} \circ Q(u)$ where $\Delta^{-1} : \mathcal{A}^{m-1,p}_{2,N+2;-2} \to \widehat{\mathcal{A}}^{m+1,p}_{0,N;0}$ is a bounded map and the space $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}^{m+1,p}_{0,N;0}$ is defined in Remark 3.3. In particular, we obtain that

$$\mathrm{p} \in C^0\big([0,T], \widehat{\mathcal{A}}^{m+1,p}_{0,N;0}\big). \tag{41}$$

In fact, a converse statement also holds.

Lemma 4.1. *The curve $u \in C^0\big([0,T], \mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{0,N;0}\big) \cap C^1\big([0,T], \mathcal{A}^{m-1,p}_{0,N;0}\big)$ is a solution of equation (40) with initial data $u_0 \in \mathring{\mathcal{A}}^{m,p}_{0,N;0}$ if and only if u belongs to $C^0\big([0,T], \mathring{\mathcal{A}}^{m,p}_{0,N;0}\big) \cap C^1\big([0,T], \mathring{\mathcal{A}}^{m-1,p}_{0,N;0}\big)$ and is a solution of the Euler equation (1).*

Remark 4.1. *In particular, the Lemma implies that any solution* $u \in C^0\big([0,T],\mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{0,N;0}\big) \cap C^1\big([0,T],\mathcal{A}^{m-1,p}_{0,N;0}\big)$ *of* (40) *with divergence free initial data* u_0 *consists of divergence free vector fields. The advantage of* (40) *compared with* (1) *is that it does not contain explicitly an unknown pressure term and that the relation* $\operatorname{div} u = 0$ *for* $t \in [0,T]$ *is automatically satisfied.*

Proof of Lemma 4.1. In view of the discussion above, we only need to prove the direct implication. Let $u \in C^0\big([0,T],\mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{0,N;0}\big) \cap C^1\big([0,T],\mathcal{A}^{m-1,p}_{0,N;0}\big)$ be a solution of (40) with initial data $u_0 \in \mathring{\mathcal{A}}^{m,p}_{0,N;0}$. Using again that Δ^{-1} and ∇ commute (Remark 3.3) we conclude from (40) that u satisfies

$$u_t + u \cdot \nabla u = \nabla \circ \Delta^{-1} \circ Q(u)\,.$$

Applying the divergence div to both sides of this equality we get from (36) that

$$(\operatorname{div} u)_t + u \cdot \nabla(\operatorname{div} u) = 0\,. \tag{42}$$

In view of the Sobolev embedding $\mathcal{A}^{m-1,p}_{0,N;0} \subseteq C^1$ we have $u \in C^1\big([0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^d,\mathbb{R}^d\big)$. Then equation (42) implies that for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and for any $t \in [0,T]$,

$$(\operatorname{div} u)(t,\varphi(t,x)) = (\operatorname{div} u_0)(x) = 0,$$

where $\varphi(t,\cdot) \in \operatorname{Diff}^1_+(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is the flow of u (see Proposition 2.1). This implies that for any $t \in [0,T]$, $u(t)$ is divergence free. Hence, $u \in C^0\big([0,T],\mathring{\mathcal{A}}^{m,p}_{0,N;0}\big) \cap C^1\big([0,T],\mathring{\mathcal{A}}^{m-1,p}_{0,N;0}\big)$ as claimed. □

In this way we have a bijective correspondence between the solutions of the Euler equations and the solutions of equation (40) with divergence free initial data.

Now we are ready to define the *Euler vector field*. For any $(\varphi,v) \in T\big(\mathcal{A}D^{m,p}_{0,N;0}\big) \equiv \mathcal{A}D^{m,p}_{0,N;0} \times \mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{0,N;0}$ define

$$\mathcal{E}(\varphi,v) := \big(v, \mathcal{E}_2(\varphi,v)\big) \quad \text{where} \quad \mathcal{E}_2(\varphi,v) := (R_\varphi \circ \Delta^{-1} \circ \nabla \circ Q \circ R_{\varphi^{-1}})(v)\,. \tag{43}$$

It follows from Lemma A.2, Proposition 3.1, and Corollary 3.1 and Corollary 3.2, that

$$\mathcal{E} : \mathcal{A}D^{m,p}_{0,N;0} \times \mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{0,N;0} \to \mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{0,N;0} \times \widehat{\mathcal{A}}^{m,p}_{1,N+1;-1}$$

and moreover

$$\mathcal{E}_2(\varphi,v) = (R_\varphi \circ \Delta^{-1} \circ R_{\varphi^{-1}}) \circ \big(R_\varphi \circ \nabla \circ Q \circ R_{\varphi^{-1}}\big)(v)\,. \tag{44}$$

Remark 4.2. *Instead of* (44)*, we could write*

$$\mathcal{E}_2(\varphi,v) = \big(R_\varphi \circ \nabla \circ \Delta^{-1} \circ R_{\varphi^{-1}}\big) \circ \big(R_\varphi \circ Q \circ R_{\varphi^{-1}}\big)(v)\,.$$

In this form, however, the smoothnes of the map $(\varphi,v) \mapsto \big(R_\varphi \circ \nabla \circ \Delta^{-1} \circ R_{\varphi^{-1}}\big)(v)$*,* $\mathcal{A}D^{m,p}_{0,N;0} \times \mathcal{A}^{m-1,p}_{1,N+1;-1} \to \mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{0,N;0}$*, can not be easily deduced, so we have chosen to use* (43)*, which requires* $m > 3 + d/p$ *(cf. Remark 3.4).*

Recall from Section 3 that for $d = 2$ the elements of $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}^{m,p}_{1,N+1;-1}$ can have leading asymptotic terms of the form $c\,\chi(r)\log r$ with $c \neq 0$, and hence $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}^{m,p}_{1,N+1;-1} \not\subseteq \mathcal{A}^{m,n}_{0,N;0}$. However, we have

Lemma 4.2. *For any $(\varphi, v) \in T\big(\mathcal{A}D^{m,p}_{0,N;0}\big)$ the component $\mathcal{E}_2(\varphi, v)$ in (43) lies in $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}^{m,p}_{1,N+1;-1} \cap \mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{0,N;0}$. In particular, it does not have a leading asymptotic term of the form $c\,\chi(r)\log r$ with $c \neq 0$.*

Proof. We only need to consider the case when $d = 2$. Take an arbitrary $(\varphi, v) \in \mathcal{A}D^{m,p}_{0,N;0} \times \mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{0,N;0}$. Then $R_{\varphi^{-1}}(v) \in \mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{0,N;0}$ and, by Lemma A.2,

$$Q \circ R_{\varphi^{-1}}(v) \in \mathcal{A}^{m-1,p}_{2,N+2;-2} \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla \circ Q \circ R_{\varphi^{-1}}(v) \in \mathcal{A}^{m-2,p}_{3,N+3;-3}.$$

Denote $f := Q \circ R_{\varphi^{-1}}(v)$ and note that $\nabla f \in \mathcal{A}^{m-2,p}_{3,N+3;-3} \subseteq L^1 \cap C^1$. As $f \in \mathcal{A}^{m-1,p}_{2,N+2;-2}$,

$$f(x) = O\big(1/|x|^2\big) \ \text{ as } \ |x| \to \infty. \tag{45}$$

Now, consider the first component $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1}$ of ∇f. As $\mathrm{d}\big(f(x_1, x_2)\,\mathrm{d}x_2\big) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1}(x_1, x_2)\,\mathrm{d}x_1 \wedge \mathrm{d}x_2$ we see from the Stokes' theorem and (45) that for any $R > 0$,

$$\Big| \iint_{\{|x| \leq R\}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1}(x_1, x_2)\,\mathrm{d}x_1 \wedge \mathrm{d}x_2 \Big| = \Big| \oint_{\{|x|=R\}} f(x_1, x_2)\,\mathrm{d}x_2 \Big| \leq 2\pi R \max_{\{|x|=R\}} |f| = O(1/R)\,.$$

This implies that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (\partial f/\partial x_1)\,\mathrm{d}x = 0$. Arguing in the same way as above we also conclude that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (\partial f/\partial x_2)\,\mathrm{d}x = 0$. Hence, in view of the second statement of Proposition 3.1, the element $\Delta^{-1}(\nabla \circ Q \circ R_{\varphi^{-1}}(v))$ does not have a leading asymptotic term of the form $c\,\chi(r)\log r$ with $c \neq 0$. This implies that $\Delta^{-1}(\nabla \circ Q \circ R_{\varphi^{-1}}(v)) \in \widehat{\mathcal{A}}^{m,p}_{1,N+1;-1} \cap \mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{0,N;0}$. As by Corollary 3.1 and Corollary 3.2, the right translation R_φ preserves the space $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}^{m,p}_{1,N+1;-1} \cap \mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{0,N;0}$, we conclude that $\mathcal{E}_2(\varphi, v) \in \widehat{\mathcal{A}}^{m,p}_{1,N+1;-1} \cap \mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{0,N;0}$. □

Remark 4.3. *The statement of Lemma 4.2 can be also easily obtained from the commutative diagram in Remark 3.3.*

In view of Lemma 4.2, for $1 + \frac{d}{p} < m_0 \leq m$ consider the following closed subspace in $\mathcal{A}^{m_0,p}_{0,N;0}$,

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}^{m_0,p}_{1,N;-1} := \mathcal{A}^{m_0,p}_{1,N;-1} \oplus \operatorname*{span}_{\mathbb{R}} \big\{ u^j_k \,\big|\, 1 \leq j \leq s_k,\ \max\{1, d-2\} \leq k \leq N \big\}, \tag{46}$$

where the functions u^j_k are defined in (11). Clearly,

$$\widehat{\mathcal{A}}^{m_0,p}_{1,N+1;-1} \cap \mathcal{A}^{m_0,p}_{0,N;0} \subseteq \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}^{m_0,p}_{1,N;-1}\,.$$

In this way we have

$$\mathcal{E} : \mathcal{A}D^{m,p}_{0,N;0} \times \mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{0,N;0} \to \mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{0,N;0} \times \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}^{m,p}_{1,N;-1} \subseteq \mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{0,N;0} \times \mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{0,N;0}, \tag{47}$$

and hence represents a vector field on $T\big(\mathcal{A}D^{m,p}_{0,N;0}\big) \equiv \mathcal{A}D^{m,p}_{0,N;0} \times \mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{0,N;0}$. In Section 6 we prove that (47) is real-analytic (see Theorem 6.1).

5 Smoothness of Δ_φ and its inverse

In this section we study the smoothness of the conjugate Laplace operator (23) and its inverse (that appears as the first factorization term in formula (44)) as a function of $\varphi \in \mathcal{A}D^{m,p}_{0,N;0}$. This is used in Section 6 for the proof of the smoothness of the Euler vector field.

First, we prove

Lemma 5.1. *For any $(\varphi, v) \in \mathcal{A}D^{m,p}_{0,N;0} \times \mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{1,N+1;0}$, we have $\Delta_\varphi(v) \in \mathcal{A}^{m-2,p}_{3,N+3;-2}$, and the map*

$$(\varphi, v) \mapsto \Delta_\varphi(v), \quad \mathcal{A}D^{m,p}_{0,N;0} \times \mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{1,N+1;0} \to \mathcal{A}^{m-2,p}_{3,N+3;-2},$$

is real-analytic.

Remark 5.1. *As the asymptotic group $\mathcal{A}D^{m,p}_{0,N;0}$ is identified with an open set in $\mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{0,N;0}$, it is a* real-analytic *manifold modeled on $\mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{0,N;0}$. This allows us to talk about real-analytic maps on $\mathcal{A}D^{m,p}_{0,N;0}$ and its tangent bundle (cf. [18]).*

Proof. As $m > 3 + \frac{d}{p}$, in view of the Sobolev embedding we have $\mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{1,N+1;0} \subseteq C^2$. A direct computation shows that for any vector field $v \in C^1$ one has

$$(R_\varphi \circ \nabla \circ R_{\varphi^{-1}})(v) = [\mathrm{d}v] \cdot [\mathrm{d}\varphi]^{-1} \tag{48}$$

and

$$(R_\varphi \circ \operatorname{div} \circ R_{\varphi^{-1}})(v) = \operatorname{tr}\Big([\mathrm{d}v] \cdot [\mathrm{d}\varphi]^{-1}\Big). \tag{49}$$

It follows from (48), (49), and Lemma 5.2 below that

$$(\varphi, v) \mapsto \big(\varphi, (R_\varphi \circ \nabla \circ R_{\varphi^{-1}})(v)\big), \quad \mathcal{A}D^{m,p}_{0,N;0} \times \mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{1,N+1;0} \to \mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{0,N;0} \times \mathcal{A}^{m-1,p}_{2,N+2;-1}, \tag{50}$$

and

$$(\varphi, v) \mapsto (R_\varphi \circ \operatorname{div} \circ R_{\varphi^{-1}})(v), \quad \mathcal{A}D^{m,p}_{0,N;0} \times \mathcal{A}^{m-1,p}_{2,N+2;-1} \to \mathcal{A}^{m-2,p}_{3,N+3;-2} \tag{51}$$

are real-analytic maps. Finally, by composing (50) and (51) and then, using $\Delta = \operatorname{div} \circ \nabla$, we prove the statement of the Lemma. □

In the proof of Lemma 5.1 we used the following

Lemma 5.2. *Assume that $1 + \frac{d}{p} < m_0 \le m$, $n \ge 0$, $l \ge -n$. Then the map,*

$$(\varphi, v) \mapsto (R_\varphi \circ \nabla \circ R_{\varphi^{-1}})(v), \quad \mathcal{A}D^{m,p}_{0,N,0} \times \mathcal{A}^{m_0,p}_{n,N+n;\ell} \to \mathcal{A}^{m_0-1,p}_{n+1,N+n+1;\ell-1}, \tag{52}$$

is real-analytic.

Proof. In view of Lemma A.5 in Appendix A we have $[\mathrm{d}\varphi]^{-1} = \mathrm{Id} + T(\varphi)$ where $\varphi \mapsto T(\varphi)$, $\mathcal{A}D^{m,p}_{0,N;0} \to \mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{1,N+1;-1}$, is a real-analytic map. For any $v \in \mathcal{A}^{m_0,p}_{n,N+n;\ell}$ we have that $[\mathrm{d}v] \in \mathcal{A}^{m_0-1,p}_{n+1,N+n+1;\ell-1}$ by Lemma A.2 (c), and

$$[\mathrm{d}v] \cdot [\mathrm{d}\varphi]^{-1} = [\mathrm{d}v] + [\mathrm{d}v] \cdot T(\varphi)\,.$$

In view of Lemma A.2 (d),

$$[\mathrm{d}v]\cdot T(\varphi)\in\mathcal{A}^{m_0-1,p}_{n+2,N^-+n+2;\ell-2}\subseteq\mathcal{A}^{m_0-1,p}_{n+1,N+n+1;\ell-1}\,,$$

where we set $N^-=N-1$. Hence, $[\mathrm{d}v]\cdot[\mathrm{d}\varphi]^{-1}\in\mathcal{A}^{m-1,p}_{2,N+2;-1}$. In view of the boundedness of the involved multi-linear maps we see that (52) is real analytic. □

In view of Lemma 5.1 and Corollary 3.2, we have

Corollary 5.1. *For any $\varphi\in\mathcal{A}D^{m,p}_{0,N;0}$, we have $\Delta_\varphi(\widehat{\mathcal{A}}^{m,p}_{1,N+1;-1})\subseteq\mathcal{A}^{m-2,p}_{3,N+3;-3}$, and the map*

$$(\varphi,v)\mapsto\Delta_\varphi(v),\quad \mathcal{A}D^{m,p}_{0,N;0}\times\widehat{\mathcal{A}}^{m,p}_{1,N+1;-1}\to\mathcal{A}^{m-2,p}_{3,N+3;-3}$$

is real-analytic.

Proof. In view of Corollary 3.3,

$$(\varphi,v)\mapsto\Delta_\varphi(v),\quad \mathcal{A}D^{m,p}_{0,N;0}\times\widehat{\mathcal{A}}^{m,p}_{1,N+1;-1}\to\mathcal{A}^{m-2,p}_{3,N+3;-3}\subseteq\mathcal{A}^{m-2,p}_{3,N+3;-2},$$

where $\mathcal{A}^{m-2,p}_{3,N+3;-3}$ is a closed subspace in $\mathcal{A}^{m-2,p}_{3,N+3;-2}$. The statement of the Corollary then follows as, by Lemma 5.1, the map

$$(\varphi,v)\mapsto\Delta_\varphi(v),\quad \mathcal{A}D^{m,p}_{0,N;0}\times\mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{1,N+1;0}\to\mathcal{A}^{m-2,p}_{3,N+3;-2},$$

is real-analytic and the inclusion $\widehat{A}^{m,p}_{1,N+1;-1}\subseteq\mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{1,N+1;0}$ is bounded. □

Now, consider the map

$$\mathcal{D}:\mathcal{A}D^{m,p}_{0,N;0}\times\mathcal{A}^{m-2,p}_{3,N+3;-3}\to\widehat{\mathcal{A}}^{m,p}_{1,N+1;-1},\quad(\varphi,v)\overset{\mathcal{D}}{\mapsto}(R_\varphi\circ\Delta^{-1}\circ R_{\varphi^{-1}})(v)\,.\tag{53}$$

We have

Proposition 5.1. *The map* (53) *is real-analytic.*

Proof. Denote $E:=\widehat{\mathcal{A}}^{m,p}_{1,N+1;-1}$ and $F:=\mathcal{A}^{m-2,p}_{3,N+3;-3}$. Let $\mathrm{GL}(E,F)$ be the set of linear isomorphisms $G:E\to F$ inside the Banach space $\mathcal{L}(E,F)$ of bounded linear maps $E\to F$ equipped with the uniform norm. Using Neumann series one easily sees that $\mathrm{GL}(E,F)$ is an open set in $\mathcal{L}(E,F)$ and that the map

$$G\to G^{-1},\quad \mathrm{GL}(E,F)\to\mathrm{GL}(F,E)\tag{54}$$

is real-analytic. It follows from Corollary 3.3 that the map

$$\varphi\mapsto\Delta_\varphi,\quad \mathcal{A}D^{m,p}_{0,N;0}\to\mathrm{GL}(E,F)\subseteq\mathcal{L}(E,F)\tag{55}$$

is well-defined. Note that $\Delta_\varphi=(D_2\mathcal{C})(\varphi,0)$ where $\mathcal{C}(\varphi,v):=\Delta_\varphi(v)$ is the map in Corollary 5.1 and D_2 denotes the partial derivative of this map with respect to the second argument at the point $(\varphi,0)$. This together with Corollary 5.1 then implies that the map (55) is real-analytic. Composing the map (55) with (54) and using that $(\Delta_\varphi)^{-1}=R_\varphi\circ\Delta^{-1}\circ R_{\varphi^{-1}}$ we conclude that the map

$$\varphi\mapsto R_\varphi\circ\Delta^{-1}\circ R_{\varphi^{-1}},\quad \mathcal{A}D^{m,p}_{0,N;0}\to\mathrm{GL}(F,E)\subseteq\mathcal{L}(F,E)$$

is real-analytic. This completes the proof of the Proposition. □

6 Smoothness of the Euler vector field

In this section we prove Theorem 6.1, which states that the Euler vector field defined in Section 4 is real-analytic.

It follows from Lemma A.2 (c) and (d') that the map

$$Q : u \mapsto \mathrm{tr}\left([\mathrm{d}u]^2\right), \quad \mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{0,N;0} \to \mathcal{A}^{m-1,p}_{2,N+2;-2}$$

is real-analytic. Now we prove the following.

Lemma 6.1. *For any $(\varphi, v) \in \mathcal{A}D^{m,p}_{0,N;0} \times \mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{0,N;0}$ we have $(R_\varphi \circ Q \circ R_{\varphi^{-1}})(v) \in \mathcal{A}^{m-1,p}_{2,N+2;-2}$ and the map*

$$(\varphi, v) \mapsto (R_\varphi \circ Q \circ R_{\varphi^{-1}})(v), \quad \mathcal{A}D^{m,p}_{0,N;0} \times \mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{0,N;0} \to \mathcal{A}^{m-1,p}_{2,N+2;-2}$$

is real-analytic.

Proof. In view of the Sobolev embedding, we have $\mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{0,N;0} \subseteq C^2$. For any vector field $v \in \mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{0,N;0}$ and for any $\varphi \in \mathcal{A}D^{m,p}_{0,N;0}$ we have

$$(R_\varphi \circ Q \circ R_{\varphi^{-1}})(v) = R_\varphi \circ \mathrm{tr}\left(\left[(\nabla \circ R_{\varphi^{-1}})(v)\right]^2\right) = \mathrm{tr}\left(\left[(R_\varphi \circ \nabla \circ R_{\varphi^{-1}})(v)\right]^2\right).$$

This, together with Lemma 5.2 and Lemma A.2 (d') in Appendix A, then completes the proof of the Lemma. □

Now, consider the map

$$\mathcal{B} : \mathcal{A}D^{m,p}_{0,N;0} \times \mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{0,N;0} \to \mathcal{A}D^{m,p}_{0,N;0} \times \mathcal{A}^{m-2,p}_{3,N+3;-3}, \quad (\varphi, v) \overset{\mathcal{B}}{\mapsto} \left(\varphi, (R_\varphi \circ \nabla \circ Q \circ R_{\varphi^{-1}})(v)\right), \tag{56}$$

and note that, by Corollary 3.1, $(R_\varphi \circ \nabla \circ Q \circ R_{\varphi^{-1}})(v) = (R_\varphi \circ \nabla \circ R_{\varphi^{-1}}) \circ (R_\varphi \circ Q \circ R_{\varphi^{-1}})(v)$. It follows from Lemma 5.2 that the map

$$(\varphi, v) \mapsto (R_\varphi \circ \nabla \circ R_{\varphi^{-1}})(v), \quad \mathcal{A}D^{m,p}_{0,N;0} \times \mathcal{A}^{m-1,p}_{2,N+2;-2} \to \mathcal{A}^{m-2,p}_{3,N+3;-3}$$

is real-analytic. Combining this with Lemma 6.1 we get

Proposition 6.1. *The map* (56) *is real-analytic.*

By (44) the Euler vector field (43) defined in Section 4 is decomposed as

$$\mathcal{E}(\varphi, v) = \left(v, (\mathcal{D} \circ \mathcal{B})(\varphi, v)\right).$$

This together with Proposition 5.1, Proposition 6.1, and Lemma 4.2, then implies

Corollary 6.1. *The map*

$$\mathcal{E} : \mathcal{A}D^{m,p}_{0,N;0} \times \mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{0,N;0} \to \mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{0,N;0} \times \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}^{m,p}_{1,N;-1}$$

is real-analytic. (We refer to (46) *for the definition of $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}^{m,p}_{1,N;-1}$.)*

In view of the boundedness of $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}^{m,p}_{1,N;-1} \subseteq \mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{0,N;0}$ we finally have

Theorem 6.1. *The Euler vector field*

$$\mathcal{E} : \mathcal{A}D^{m,p}_{0,N;0} \times \mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{0,N;0} \to \mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{0,N;0} \times \mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{0,N;0}$$

is real-analytic.

7 Proof of Theorem 1.1 and Proposition 1.1

Assume that $u \in C^0\big([0,T],\mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{0,N;0}\big)\cap C^1\big([0,T],\mathcal{A}^{m-1,p}_{0,N;0}\big)$ is a solution of equation (40). Then, in view of Proposition 2.1, there exists a unique solution $\varphi \in C^1\big([0,T],\mathcal{A}D^{m,p}_{0,N;0}\big)$, of the equation $\dot\varphi = u\circ\varphi$, $\varphi|_{t=0} = \mathrm{id}$. Denote $v := \dot\varphi$. Then,

$$(\varphi, v) \in C^0\big([0,T], T(\mathcal{A}D^{m,p}_{0,N;0})\big)\,. \tag{57}$$

We will prove that, in fact, this curve is C^1-smooth and that it is the integral curve of the Euler vector field $\mathcal{E}$ on $T(\mathcal{A}D^{m,p}_{0,N;0})$: In view of the Sobolev embedding $\mathcal{A}^{m-1,p}_{0,N;0}\subseteq C^1$ and $u\in C^1\big([0,T],\mathcal{A}^{m-1,p}_{0,N;0}\big)$ we conclude that $u,\,\varphi\in C^1\big([0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^d,\mathbb{R}^d\big)$. Since $v(t,x)=u(t,\varphi(t,x))$, we have

$$v_t = u_t\circ\varphi + [\mathrm{d}u]\circ\varphi\cdot\dot\varphi = \big(u_t + u\cdot\nabla u\big)\circ\varphi,$$

where v_t stands for the point-wise partial derivative $v_t(t,x)$. In view of (40) and (43), we then obtain

$$v_t = \big(R_\varphi\circ\Delta^{-1}\circ\nabla\circ Q\circ R_{\varphi^{-1}}\big)(v) = \mathcal{E}_2(\varphi,v)\,.$$

By a point-wise integration with respect to t we then have

$$v(t,x) = u_0(x) + \int_0^t \mathcal{E}_2(\varphi,v)|_{(s,x)}\,\mathrm{d}s\,. \tag{58}$$

Now, it follows from (57) and Theorem 6.1 that the curve $s\mapsto\mathcal{E}_2(\varphi(s),v(s))$, $[0,T]\to\mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{0,N;0}$, is continuous. Hence, the integral in (58) converges in $\mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{0,N;0}$ and $v(t)=u_0+\int_0^t\mathcal{E}_2(\varphi(s),v(s))\,\mathrm{d}s$ holds in $\mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{0,N;0}$. This implies that $v\in C^1\big([0,T],\mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{0,N;0}\big)$ and $\dot v = \mathcal{E}_2(\varphi,v)$. Hence, $(\varphi,v)\in C^1\big([0,T],T(\mathcal{A}D^{m,p}_{0,N;0})\big)$ is the integral curve of the dynamical system,

$$\begin{cases} (\dot\varphi,\dot v) = \big(v,\mathcal{E}_2(\varphi,v)\big)\equiv\mathcal{E}(\varphi,v), \\ (\varphi,v)|_{t=0} = (\mathrm{id},u_0),\ \ u_0\in\mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{0,N;0}\,. \end{cases} \tag{59}$$

Conversely, one easily confirms, by using Lemma 4.1 and the fact that the solutions (φ,v) of (59) satisfy $v=\dot\varphi$, that any solution $(\varphi,v)\in C^1\big([0,T],T(\mathcal{A}D^{m,p}_{0,N;0})\big)$ of (59) produces a solution $u := R_{\varphi^{-1}}v$ of equation (40). Note that, by Theorem 2.1, we have $u\in C^0\big([0,T],\mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{0,N;0}\big)\cap C^1\big([0,T],\mathcal{A}^{m-1,p}_{0,N;0}\big)$. In this way, we proved

Lemma 7.1. *The map*

$$(\varphi,v)\mapsto R_{\varphi^{-1}}v,\quad C^1\big([0,T],T(\mathcal{A}D^{m,p}_{0,N;0})\big)\to C^0\big([0,T],\mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{0,N;0}\big)\cap C^1\big([0,T],\mathcal{A}^{m-1,p}_{0,N;0}\big)$$

gives a bijective correspondence between solutions of the dynamical system (59) *and the solutions of equation* (40) *in* $C^0\big([0,T],\mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{0,N;0}\big)\cap C^1\big([0,T],\mathcal{A}^{m-1,p}_{0,N;0}\big)$.

Combining Lemma 7.1 with Lemma 4.1 we have

Proposition 7.1. *The map*

$$(\varphi,v)\mapsto R_{\varphi^{-1}}v,\quad C^1\big([0,T],T(\mathcal{A}D^{m,p}_{0,N;0})\big)\to C^0\big([0,T],\mathring{\mathcal{A}}^{m,p}_{0,N;0}\big)\cap C^1\big([0,T],\mathring{\mathcal{A}}^{m-1,p}_{0,N;0}\big)$$

gives a bijective correspondence between solutions of the dynamical system (59) *with* $u_0\in\mathring{\mathcal{A}}^{m,p}_{0,N;0}$ *and the solutions of the Euler equation* (1) *in* $C^0\big([0,T],\mathring{\mathcal{A}}^{m,p}_{0,N;0}\big)\cap C^1\big([0,T],\mathring{\mathcal{A}}^{m-1,p}_{0,N;0}\big)$.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. The Theorem follows directly from Theorem 6.1, Proposition 7.1, and the existence and uniqueness theorem of solutions of an ODE in a Banach space ([24]). We also use that the solutions of (40) (and hence (1)) admit the symmetry group $u \mapsto u_c$ where $u_c(t) := c\,u(ct)$, $c > 0$. □

Proof of Proposition 1.1. Let $u \in C^0\big([0,T], \mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{0,N;0}\big) \cap C^1\big([0,T], \mathcal{A}^{m-1,p}_{0,N;0}\big)$ be the solution of the Euler equation (1) given by Theorem 1.1. Then, by Lemma 4.1, u is a solution of

$$u_t + u \cdot \nabla u = \Delta^{-1} \circ \nabla \circ Q(u), \quad u|_{t=0} = u_0\,. \tag{60}$$

Note that by Lemma 4.2, Corollary 3.1 and Corollary 3.2 the right hand side of (60) belongs to the closed subspace $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}^{m,p}_{1,N;-1}$ in $\mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{0,N;0}$ defined in (46). Moreover, by Lemma A.2 and Proposition 3.1, the map $t \mapsto \Delta^{-1}\circ\nabla\circ Q(u(t))$, $[0,T] \to \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}^{m,p}_{1,N;-1}$, is continuous with respect to the norm in $\mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{0,N;0}$. Similarly, by Lemma A.2, the composed map $t \mapsto u(t)\cdot\nabla u(t)$, $[0,T] \to \mathcal{A}^{m-1,p}_{1,N+1;-1} \subseteq \mathcal{A}^{m-1,p}_{1,N;-1}$, is continuous and the space $\mathcal{A}^{m-1,p}_{1,N;-1}$ is closed in $\mathcal{A}^{m-1,p}_{0,N;0}$. By integrating (60) in $\mathcal{A}^{m-1,p}_{0,N;0}$ and by using that $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}^{m-1,p}_{1,N;-1}$ is closed in $\mathcal{A}^{m-1,p}_{0,N;0}$ we conclude that for any $t \in [0,T]$ we have $u(t) - u_0 \in \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}^{m-1,p}_{1,N;-1}$. As $u \in C^0\big([0,T], \mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{0,N;0}\big)$ we then conclude from (46) that for any $t \in [0,T]$,

$$u(t) - u_0 \in \mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{1,N;-1} \oplus \operatorname*{span}_{\mathbb{R}} \big\{ u^j_k \,\big|\, 1 \le j \le s_k,\ \max\{1, d-2\} \le k \le N \big\} \tag{61}$$

where the functions u^j_k are defined in (11). The statement of the Proposition 1.1 now follows directly from (61) and (11). A final remark needed is that the space of homogeneous harmonic polynomials of degree $l \ge 0$ (when restricted to the sphere S^{d-1}) coincides with the eigenspace of the "positive" Laplace-Beltrami operator $-\Delta_S$ with eigenvalue $\mu_l = l(k+d-2)$ (see e.g. [32]). □

Non-triviality of the conserved quantities. Let us now discuss the non-triviality of the conservation laws (4) appearing in Proposition 1.1. For simplicity, we consider the case $d = 2$. Take $0 \le k \le N$ and consider the Hamiltonian $H := a(\phi)(\log r)^k \chi(r)/r^{k-1}$, where a is a C^∞-smooth, real-valued function of $\phi \in \mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z}$. Let u be the Hamiltonian vector field corresponding to H:

$$u = \big(-H_y, H_x\big) = \chi(r)\left(\frac{a^{k-1}_k(\phi)\,(\log r)^{k-1} + a^k_k(\phi)\,(\log r)^k}{r^k}\right) + f,$$

where f has compact support and

$$a^k_k(\phi) = \Big(-a'(\phi)\cos\phi + (k-1)a(\phi)\sin\phi, -a'(\phi)\sin\phi - (k-1)a(\phi)\cos\phi\Big),$$

with $a' = da/d\phi$. Clearly, $u \in \mathring{\mathcal{A}}^{m,p}_{N;0}$. Now, if we identify real 2-vectors with complex numbers and denote by $\sum_{l\in\mathbb{Z}} \hat{a}_l\, e^{-l\phi}$ the Fourier series of $a(\phi)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} a^k_k(\phi) &= -a'(\phi)\cos\phi + (k-1)a(\phi)\sin\phi - i(a'(\phi)\sin\phi + (k-1)\cos\phi) \\ &= -\Big(a'(\phi) + i(k-1)a(\phi)\Big)e^{i\phi} \\ &= -i\sum_{l\in\mathbb{Z}} (l+k-2)\hat{a}_{l-1}\, e^{il\phi}. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, we see that if h is a homogeneous harmonic polynomial of degree $l \notin \{2-k, k\}$ then the integral (4) is a non-vanishing linear function of the Fourier coefficients $\hat{a}_{l-1}$ and $\hat{a}_{-l-1}$ of $a(\phi)$.

8 Asymptotic groups and asymptotic ODEs

In this section we prove Proposition 2.1 stated in Section 2.

Proof of Proposition 2.1. Assume that $0 \le n \le N$, $-n \le \ell \le 0$. Take $u \in C^0\big([0,T], \mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{n,N;\ell}\big)$ and consider the differential equation,

$$\dot{\varphi}(t) = u(t) \circ \varphi(t)\,. \tag{62}$$

First, note that in view of Theorem 2.1, the map $g(t,\varphi) := u(t)\circ\varphi$, $g : [0,T] \times \mathcal{A}D^{m-1,p}_{n,N;\ell} \to \mathcal{A}^{m-1,p}_{n,N;\ell}$ and its partial derivative with respect to the second argument $D_2 g : [0,T] \times \mathcal{A}D^{m-1,p}_{n,N;\ell} \to \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A}^{m-1,p}_{n,N;\ell}, \mathcal{A}^{m-1,p}_{n,N;\ell})$ are continuous maps. This implies that g is locally Lipschitz with respect to the second argument in $[0,T] \times \mathcal{A}D^{m-1,p}_{n,N;\ell}$. In particular, by the existence and uniqueness theorem of solutions of an ODE in a Banach space, for any given $\tau \in [0,T]$ there exist an open neighborhood $U(\tau)$ of τ in $[0,T]$ and an open neighborhood $V(\mathrm{id})$ of the identity id in $\mathcal{A}D^{m-1,p}_{n,N;\ell}$ so that for any $t_0 \in U(\tau)$ there exists a unique solution $\varphi \in C^1\big(U(\tau), \mathcal{A}D^{m-1,p}_{n,N;\ell}\big)$ of (62) with initial condition $\varphi|_{t=t_0} = \mathrm{id}$. Now, using the invariance of (62) with respect to right-translations as well as the fact that the right translation $R_{\varphi_0} : \mathcal{A}D^{m-1,p}_{n,N;\ell} \to \mathcal{A}D^{m-1,p}_{n,N;\ell}$ for a fixed element $\varphi_0 \in \mathcal{A}D^{m-1,p}_{n,N;\ell}$ is a C^∞-smooth map, we see that for any $t_0 \in U(\tau)$ and for any $\varphi_0 \in \mathcal{A}D^{m-1,p}_{n,N;\ell}$, equation (62) with initial condition $\varphi|_{t=t_0} = \varphi_0$ has a unique solution $\varphi \in C^1\big(U(\tau), \mathcal{A}D^{m-1,p}_{n,N;\ell}\big)$. This, together with the compactness of the interval $[0,T]$, implies that (62) with initial condition $\varphi|_{t=0} = \mathrm{id}$ has a unique solution,

$$\varphi \in C^1\big([0,T], \mathcal{A}D^{m-1,p}_{n,N;\ell}\big). \tag{63}$$

Further, we will use a bootstrap argument to show that this solution in fact lies in the space $C^1\big([0,T], \mathcal{A}D^{m,p}_{n,N;\ell}\big)$. As $\varphi \in C^1\big([0,T], \mathcal{A}D^{m-1,p}_{n,N;\ell}\big)$ satisfies (62) with initial condition $\varphi|_{t=0} = \mathrm{id}$, we obtain by applying $\partial/\partial x_k$, $k = 1, ..., d$, to (62),

$$\begin{cases} [\mathrm{d}\varphi]^{\bullet} = [\mathrm{d}u] \circ \varphi \cdot [\mathrm{d}\varphi], \\ [\mathrm{d}\varphi]_{t=0} = \mathrm{Id}, \end{cases} \tag{64}$$

where by Theorem 2.1,

$$A := [\mathrm{d}u] \circ \varphi \in C^0\big([0,T], \mathcal{A}^{m-1,p}_{n+1,N+1;\ell-1}\big), \tag{65}$$

and

$$[\mathrm{d}w] = [\mathrm{d}\varphi] - \mathrm{Id} \in C^1\big([0,T], \mathcal{A}^{m-2,p}_{n+1,N+1;\ell-1}\big), \tag{66}$$

where $\varphi(x) = x + w(x)$, $w \in \mathcal{A}^{m-1,p}_{n,N;\ell}$. In view of (65) and the Banach algebra property of the space $\mathcal{A}^{m-1,p}_{n+1,N+1;\ell-1}$, the equation $[v]^{\bullet} = A(t)\cdot[v] + A(t)$ with initial condition $[v]|_{t=0} = 0$ is a linear ODE in the Banach space $\mathcal{A}^{m-1,p}_{n+1,N+1;\ell-1}$. This implies that there exists a unique solution $[v] \in C^1\big([0,T], \mathcal{A}^{m-1,p}_{n+1,N+1;\ell-1}\big)$. This together with (64) and (66) then implies that,

$$[\mathrm{d}w] \in C^1\big([0,T], \mathcal{A}^{m-1,p}_{n+1,N+1;\ell-1}\big)\,. \tag{67}$$

Applying the divergence operator div to the rows of this matrix we see that

$$\Delta w \in C^1\big([0,T], \mathcal{A}^{m-2,p}_{n+2,N+2;\ell-2}\big)\,.$$

This together with [27, Proposition B.4] and Remark 3.3 implies that $w \in C^1\big([0,T], \mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{0,N;\ell+2}\big)$. Comparing this with (63) we finally see that $w \in C^1\big([0,T], \mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{n,N;\ell}\big)$. This completes the proof of the Proposition. □

Proof of Corollary 2.2. Let $\mathrm{M}_{d\times d}$ be the linear space of $d \times d$ matrices. In view of the Sobolev embedding $\mathcal{A}^{m-1,p}_{n+1,N+1;\ell-1} \subseteq C^0$, we see from (64) and (67) that for any given $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $[\mathrm{d}\varphi](\cdot, x) \in C^1\big([0,T], \mathrm{M}_{d\times d}\big)$ is the fundamental matrix of the linear system $\dot{X} = A(t,x)X$, $X|_{t=0} = \mathrm{Id}$, where $A(t,x) := [\mathrm{d}u]\big|_{(t,\varphi(t,x))}$. Since $\operatorname{div} u(t) = 0$ for any $t \in [0,T]$, we see from the Wronskian identity that for any $t \in [0,T]$ we have

$$\det[\mathrm{d}\varphi](t,x) = e^{\int_0^t \operatorname{tr}[\mathrm{d}u]|_{(s,\varphi(s,x))}\,\mathrm{d}s} = e^{\int_0^t (\operatorname{div} u)|_{(s,\varphi(s,x))}\,\mathrm{d}s}\,. \tag{68}$$

As $\operatorname{div} u \equiv 0$, we conclude that for any $t \in [0,T]$ we have $\det[\mathrm{d}\varphi](t,x) = 1$. This, together with Proposition 2.1, then implies that $\varphi \in \mathring{\mathcal{A}}D^{m,p}_{n,N;\ell}$. □

9 Volume preserving asymptotic diffeomorphisms

Recall from Section 2 that

$$\mathring{\mathcal{A}}D^{m,p}_{0,N;0} = \big\{\varphi \in \mathcal{A}D^{m,p}_{0,N;0} \,\big|\, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^d,\, \det[\mathrm{d}_x\varphi] = 1\big\}$$

is the subgroup of volume preserving diffeomorphisms in $\mathcal{A}D^{m,p}_{0,N;0}$. Clearly, $\mathring{\mathcal{A}}D^{m,p}_{0,N;0}$ is a closed set in $\mathcal{A}D^{m,p}_{0,N;0}$ and it is a topological subgroup with respect to the topology inherited from $\mathcal{A}D^{m,p}_{0,N;0}$. Moreover, by Lemma A.2, the map

$$\varphi \mapsto \det[\mathrm{d}\varphi] - 1, \quad \mathcal{A}D^{m,p}_{0,N;0} \to \mathcal{A}^{m-1,p}_{1,N+1;-1},$$

is a polynomial map, and hence $\mathring{\mathcal{A}}D^{m,p}_{0,N;0}$ is a real analytic subvariety in $\mathcal{A}D^{m,p}_{0,N;0}$. We will prove that, in fact, $\mathring{\mathcal{A}}D^{m,p}_{0,N;0}$ is a *real-analytic submanifold* in $\mathcal{A}D^{m,p}_{0,N;0}$. More specifically, we prove

Theorem 9.1. *(a) $\mathring{\mathcal{A}}D^{m,p}_{0,N;0}$ is a real-analytic submanifold in $\mathcal{A}D^{m,p}_{0,N;0}$;*

(b) For any $\varphi \in \mathring{\mathcal{A}}D^{m,p}_{0,N;0}$ the tangent space $T_\varphi\big(\mathring{\mathcal{A}}D^{m,p}_{0,N;0}\big)$ to $\mathring{\mathcal{A}}D^{m,p}_{0,N;0}$ at φ coincides with $\Big(\varphi, R_\varphi\big(\mathring{\mathcal{A}}^{m,p}_{0,N;0}\big)\Big)$ where $R_\varphi\big(\mathring{\mathcal{A}}^{m,p}_{0,N;0}\big)$ is the image of the right-translation $R_\varphi : \mathring{\mathcal{A}}^{m,p}_{0,N;0} \to \mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{0,N;0}$;

(c) The Euler vector field $\mathcal{E}$ is tangent to the submanifold $T(\mathring{\mathcal{A}}D^{m,p}_{0,N;0})$ in $T(\mathcal{A}D^{m,p}_{0,N;0})$.

Before proving this Theorem let us first discuss the *exponential map* of the spray $\mathcal{E}$. As $\mathcal{E}$ is a real analytic vector field on $T\big(\mathcal{A}D^{m,p}_{0,N;0}\big)$, we see from the existence and uniqueness theorem of solutions of an analytic ODE in a Banach space (see e.g [13, Theorem 10.8.1, Theorem 10.8.2]) that

there exists an open neighborhood $\mathcal{U}$ of zero in $\mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{0,N;0}$ such that for any initial data $(\varphi, v)|_{t=0} = (\mathrm{id}, u_0)$ the ODE

$$(\dot\varphi, \dot v) = \mathcal{E}(\varphi, v) \equiv \big(v, \mathcal{E}_2(\varphi, v)\big) \tag{69}$$

has a unique real-analytic solution $(-2, 2) \to \mathcal{A}D^{m,p}_{0,N;0} \times \mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{0,N;0}$, $t \mapsto \big(\varphi(t; u_0), v(t; u_0)\big)$, so that the map

$$(-2, 2) \times \mathcal{U} \to \mathcal{A}D^{m,p}_{0,N;0} \times \mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{0,N;0}, \quad (t, u_0) \mapsto \big(\varphi(t; u_0), v(t; u_0)\big), \tag{70}$$

is real analytic. Here we use that the solutions of (69) admit the symmetry group $(\varphi, v) \mapsto (\varphi_c, v_c)$ where $\varphi_c(t) := \varphi(ct)$ and $v_c(t) := c\, v(ct)$, $c > 0$. Now, consider the exponential map of $\mathcal{E}$,

$$\mathrm{Exp}_{\mathcal{E}} : \mathcal{U} \to \mathcal{A}D^{m,p}_{0,N;0}, \quad u_0 \mapsto \varphi(1; u_0), \tag{71}$$

that, by the discussion above, is real analytic. By the discussed symmetry, for any $t \in (-2, 2)$ one has $\mathrm{Exp}_{\mathcal{E}}(t\, u_0) = \varphi(t)$. This implies that $\mathrm{d}_0\mathrm{Exp}_{\mathcal{E}} = \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{0,N;0}}$. Shrinking the neighborhood $\mathcal{U}$ if necessary, we obtain from the inverse function theorem the following

Proposition 9.1. *There exists an open neighborhood $\mathcal{U}$ of zero in $\mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{0,N;0}$ and an open neighborhood $\mathcal{V}$ of* id *in $\mathcal{A}D^{m,p}_{0,N;0}$ so that $\mathrm{Exp}_{\mathcal{E}} : \mathcal{U} \to \mathcal{V}$ is a real analytic diffeomorphism.*

Further, we prove

Proposition 9.2. *For any $u_0 \in \mathcal{U} \cap \mathring{\mathcal{A}}^{m,p}_{0,N;0}$ we have $\mathrm{Exp}_{\mathcal{E}}(u_0) \in \mathring{\mathcal{A}}D^{m,p}_{0,N;0}$ and the map*

$$\mathrm{Exp}_{\mathcal{E}} : \mathcal{U} \cap \mathring{\mathcal{A}}^{m,p}_{0,N;0} \to \mathcal{V} \cap \mathring{\mathcal{A}}D^{m,p}_{0,N;0}. \tag{72}$$

is a real analytic diffeomorphism.

Remark 9.1. *In particular, we see that for any $u_0 \in \mathcal{U} \cap \mathring{\mathcal{A}}^{m,p}_{0,N;0}$ the map $t \mapsto \varphi(t; u_0) = \mathrm{Exp}_{\mathcal{E}}(tu_0)$, $(-2, 2) \to \mathring{\mathcal{A}}D^{m,p}_{N;0}$, is real analytic (cf. [18, 19, 30, 31]).*

Proof of Proposition 9.2. Take $u_0 \in \mathcal{U} \cap \mathring{\mathcal{A}}^{m,p}_{0,N;0}$ and let (φ, v) be the solution of (69) discussed above. In view of Proposition 7.1, the curve $u := R_{\varphi^{-1}} v$ lies in $C^0\big([0, 1], \mathring{\mathcal{A}}^{m,p}_{0,N;0}\big) \cap C^1\big([0, 1], \mathring{\mathcal{A}}^{m-1,p}_{0,N;0}\big)$. As by (69) one has $v = \dot\varphi$, we obtain that $\varphi \in C^1\big([0, 1], \mathcal{A}D^{m,p}_{0,N;0}\big)$ satisfies $\dot\varphi = u \circ \varphi$, $\varphi|_{t=0} = \mathrm{id}$. Then, Corollary 2.2 implies that $\varphi \in C^1\big([0, 1], \mathring{\mathcal{A}}D^{m,p}_{0,N;0}\big)$. Hence, $\mathrm{Exp}_{\mathcal{E}}(u_0) = \varphi(1; u_0) \in \mathring{\mathcal{A}}D^{m,p}_{0,N;0}$. We will prove Proposition 9.2 by showing that (72) is onto. Due to Proposition 9.1, it suffices to show that if $u_0 \in \mathcal{U} \setminus \mathring{\mathcal{A}}^{m,p}_{0,N;0}$ then $\mathrm{Exp}_{\mathcal{E}}(u_0) \notin \mathring{\mathcal{A}}D^{m,p}_{0,N;0}$. Take $u_0 \in \mathcal{U} \setminus \mathring{\mathcal{A}}^{m,p}_{0,N;0}$ and let (φ, v) be the solution of (69). By Lemma 7.1, the curve $u := R_\varphi v \in C^0([0, 1], \mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{0,N;0}) \cap C^1([0, 1], \mathcal{A}^{m-1,p}_{0,N;0})$ satisfies equation (40). Using that Δ^{-1} and ∇ commute (Remark 3.3) we see that u satisfies $u_t + u \cdot \nabla u = \nabla \circ \Delta^{-1} \circ Q(u)$. Applying div to the both hand sides of this equality we conclude from (36) that $(\mathrm{div}\, u)_t + u \cdot \nabla(\mathrm{div}\, u) = 0$. This implies that for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and for any $t \in [0, 1]$, $(\mathrm{div}\, u)(t, \varphi(t, x)) = (\mathrm{div}\, u_0)(x)$. Then, in view of the Wronskian identity (68) we conclude that $\det[\mathrm{d}\varphi](t, x) = e^{t(\mathrm{div}\, u_0)(x)}$, which implies that $\mathrm{Exp}_{\mathcal{E}}(u_0) \notin \mathring{\mathcal{A}}D^{m,p}_{0,N;0}$. Now, as mentioned above, the ontoness of (72) follows from Proposition 9.1. □

Proof of Theorem 9.1. (a) In view of Proposition 9.1 and Proposition 9.2, $\mathcal{V} \cap \mathring{\mathcal{A}}D^{m,p}_{0,N;0}$ is diffeomorphic to $\mathcal{U} \cap \mathring{\mathcal{A}}^{m,p}_{0,N;0}$ and hence it is a submanifold in an open neighborhood of id in $\mathcal{A}D^{m,p}_{0,N;0}$. The general case then follows as for any given $\psi \in \mathring{\mathcal{A}}D^{m,p}_{0,N;0}$ the right translation $R_\psi : \mathring{\mathcal{A}}D^{m,p}_{0,N;0} \to \mathring{\mathcal{A}}D^{m,p}_{0,N;0}$ is a diffeomorphism.[3]

(b) The discussion above implies that $T_{\mathrm{id}}\big(\mathring{\mathcal{A}}D^{m,p}_{0,N;0}\big) = \mathring{\mathcal{A}}^{m,p}_{0,N;0}$. As above, the general case follows as for any given $\psi \in \mathring{\mathcal{A}}D^{m,p}_{0,N;0}$ the right translation $R_\psi : \mathring{\mathcal{A}}D^{m,p}_{0,N;0} \to \mathring{\mathcal{A}}D^{m,p}_{0,N;0}$ is a diffeomorphism.

(c) For any $u_0 \in \mathring{\mathcal{A}}D^{m,p}_{0,N;0}$ consider the solution $(\varphi, v) \in C^1\big([0,1], T(\mathcal{A}D^{m,p}_{0,N;0})\big)$ of (69) with initial data (φ, u_0). Arguing as in the proof of Proposition 9.2, we conclude from Proposition 7.1 and Corollary 2.2 that $\varphi \in C^1\big([0,1], \mathring{\mathcal{A}}D^{m,p}_{0,N;0}\big)$. In particular, $v = \dot\varphi \in C^0\big([0,1], \mathring{\mathcal{A}}^{m,p}_{0,N;0}\big)$. Recalling that $(\varphi, v) \in C^1\big([0,1], T(\mathcal{A}D^{m,p}_{0,N;0})\big)$ we see that $(\varphi, v) \in C^1\big([0,1], T(\mathring{\mathcal{A}}D^{m,p}_{0,N;0})\big)$. This implies that for any $u_0 \in \mathring{\mathcal{A}}D^{m,p}_{0,N;0}$, $\mathcal{E}(\mathrm{id}, u_0) = \frac{d}{dt}\big|_{t=0}(\varphi, v)$ is tangent to the submanifold $T(\mathring{\mathcal{A}}D^{m,p}_{0,N;0})$ in $T(\mathcal{A}D^{m,p}_{0,N;0}) \equiv \mathcal{A}D^{m,p}_{0,N;0} \times \mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{0,N;0}$. Before considering the general case, note that for any $\psi \in \mathring{\mathcal{A}}D^{m,p}_{0,N;0}$ and for any $(\varphi, v) \in T(\mathring{\mathcal{A}}D^{m,p}_{0,N;0})$, $\mathcal{E}(R_\psi\varphi, R_\psi v) = \mathsf{R}_\psi\big(\mathcal{E}(\varphi, v)\big)$, where $\mathsf{R}_\psi\big(v, w\big) := \big(R_\psi v, R_\psi w\big)$. Now, take an arbitrary $(\varphi_0, v_0) \in T(\mathring{\mathcal{A}}D^{m,p}_{0,N;0})$. In view of (b), we have that $v_0 = R_{\varphi_0} u_0$ for some $u_0 \in \mathring{\mathcal{A}}^{m,p}_{0,N;0}$. In view of the invariance of $\mathcal{E}$ discussed above, $\mathcal{E}(\varphi_0, v_0) = \mathsf{R}_{\varphi_0}\big(\mathcal{E}(\mathrm{id}, u_0)\big)$. The vector $\mathsf{R}_{\varphi_0}\big(\mathcal{E}(\mathrm{id}, u_0)\big)$ is tangent to $T(\mathring{\mathcal{A}}D^{m,p}_{0,N;0})$ as $\mathcal{E}(\mathrm{id}, u_0)$ is tangent to $T(\mathring{\mathcal{A}}D^{m,p}_{0,N;0})$ and $\mathsf{R}_{\varphi_0} : (\psi, v) \mapsto (R_{\varphi_0}\psi, R_{\varphi_0} v)$, $T(\mathring{\mathcal{A}}D^{m,p}_{0,N;0}) \to T(\mathring{\mathcal{A}}D^{m,p}_{0,N;0})$, is a diffeomorphism. □

A Properties of asymptotic spaces

In this Appendix for the convenience of the reader we collect several results on the asymptotic spaces that are used in the main body of the paper. Take $1 < p < \infty$.

Asymptotic spaces: First, we discuss the remainder space $W^{m,p}_\delta(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $\delta \in \mathbb{R}$ (see (5)).

Lemma A.1. *Let $m > k + \frac{d}{p}$, $k \geq 0$. Then $W^{m,p}_\delta(\mathbb{R}^d) \subseteq C^k(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and there exists $C > 0$ such that for any $f \in W^{m,p}_\delta(\mathbb{R}^d)$, and for any multi-index α such that $0 \leq |\alpha| \leq k$,*

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \big(\langle x\rangle^{\delta + \frac{d}{p} + |\alpha|} |\partial^\alpha f(x)|\big) \leq C \|f\|_{W^{m,p}_\delta}.$$

Moreover, for any α such that $0 \leq |\alpha| \leq k$,

$$\langle x\rangle^{\delta + \frac{d}{p} + |\alpha|} |\partial^\alpha f(x)| = o(1) \ \text{ as } \ |x| \to \infty\,.$$

If $m > \frac{d}{p}$ and $\delta \geq -\frac{d}{p}$ then $W^{m,p}_\delta(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is a *Banach algebra*. We refer to [27, Section 1] for additional properties of the remainder space.

Take $0 \leq n \leq N$, $m > \frac{d}{p}$, $\ell \geq -n$, and consider the asymptotic space $\mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{n,N;\ell}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. One has

[3] In fact, the map $R_\psi : \mathring{\mathcal{A}}D^{m,p}_{0,N;0} \to \mathring{\mathcal{A}}D^{m,p}_{0,N;0}$ is affine linear, and hence real analytic.

Lemma A.2. *(a) If $n \le n_1$, $N \le N_1$, $0 \le n_1 \le N_1$ and $-n \le \ell_1 \le \ell$ then $\mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{n_1,N_1;\ell_1}(\mathbb{R}^d) \subseteq \mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{n,N;\ell}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and the inclusion is bounded. Moreover, we have $\mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{n+1,N+1;\ell} \subseteq W^{m,p}_{\gamma_n}$ and the inclusion is bounded;*

(b) For any $j \ge 1$ and $k \ge 0$, multiplication by $\chi\frac{(\log r)^j}{r^k}$ is a bounded operator $\mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{n,N;\ell}(\mathbb{R}^d) \to \mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{n+k,N^-+k;\ell-k+j}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for any $N^- < N$. Multiplication by $\chi(r)/r^k$ is a bounded operator $\mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{n,N;\ell}(\mathbb{R}^d) \to \mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{n+k,N+k;\ell-k}(\mathbb{R}^d)$;[4]

(c) If $m > 1 + \frac{d}{p}$ then $u \mapsto \partial u/\partial x_j$, $\mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{n,N;\ell}(\mathbb{R}^d) \to \mathcal{A}^{m-1,p}_{n+1,N+1;\ell-1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is bounded;

(d) For any $m_1, m_2 > d/p$, $0 \le n_1 \le N_1$, $0 \le n_2 \le N_2$, and $-n_1 \le \ell_1$, $-n_2 \le \ell_2$, the point-wise multiplication $(u,v) \mapsto u \cdot v$,

$$\mathcal{A}^{m_1,p}_{n_1,N_1;\ell_1}(\mathbb{R}^d) \times \mathcal{A}^{m_2,p}_{n_2,N_2;\ell_2}(\mathbb{R}^d) \to \mathcal{A}^{\min\{m_1,m_2\},p}_{n_1+n_2,\min\{N_1{}^-+n_2,N_2{}^-+n_1\};\ell_1+\ell_2}(\mathbb{R}^d)$$

is bounded for any $N_1{}^- < N_1$ and $N_2{}^- < N_2$.

Item (d) has the following two refinements:

(d') For any $m_1, m_2 > d/p$, $0 \le n_1 \le N_1$, $0 \le n_2 \le N_2$, point-wise multiplication $(u,v) \mapsto u \cdot v$,

$$\mathcal{A}^{m_1,p}_{n_1,N_1;-n_1}(\mathbb{R}^d) \times \mathcal{A}^{m_2,p}_{n_2,N_2;-n_2}(\mathbb{R}^d) \to \mathcal{A}^{\min\{m_1,m_2\},p}_{n_1+n_2,\min\{N_1+n_2,N_2+n_1\};-n_1-n_2}(\mathbb{R}^d)$$

is bounded;

(d'') For any $m > d/p$, $1 \le n \le N$, $-n \le \ell_1, \ell_2$, point-wise multiplication $(u,v) \mapsto u \cdot v$,

$$\mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{n,N;\ell_1}(\mathbb{R}^d) \times \mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{n,N;\ell_2}(\mathbb{R}^d) \to \mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{n,N;\ell_1+\ell_2}(\mathbb{R}^d)\,,$$

is bounded.

In particular, the asymptotic space $\mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{n,N;\ell}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $-n \le \ell \le 0$ is a Banach algebra with respect to the point-wise multiplication of functions.

We refer to [27, Appendix B] for the proof of this lemma.

These asymptotic spaces are useful in studying the Laplace operator and its inverse. However, due to the logarithmic potential when $d = 2$, we need to introduce some additional spaces. Denote by $\mathcal{A}^{m+1,p}_{1^*,N}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ the space of $u \in H^{m+1}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ such that,

$$u(x) = \chi(r)\Big(a_0^* \log r + \frac{a_1(\theta)}{r} + \cdots + \frac{a_N(\theta)}{r^N}\Big) + f(x)\,,$$

where $a_0^* = \text{const}$, $a_k \in H^{m+1+N-k,p}(\mathrm{S}^1)$, $k = 1, ..., N$, and $f \in W^{m,p}_{\gamma_N}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. The space $\mathcal{A}^{m+1,p}_{1^*,N}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ is equipped with a norm similar to (8). Clearly, the space $\mathcal{A}^{m+1,p}_{1^*,N}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ is closed subspace in $\mathcal{A}^{m+1,p}_{0,N;1}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and the embedding $\mathcal{A}^{m+1,p}_{1^*,N}(\mathbb{R}^2) \subseteq \mathcal{A}^{m+1,p}_{0,N;1}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ is continuous.

Lemma A.3. *Suppose that $d \ge 2$ and $m > 1 + \frac{d}{p}$.*

[4] By definition the remainder space in $\mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{n,N+k;\ell}$ is $W^{m,p}_{\gamma_N+k} = W^{m,p}_{\gamma_{N+k}}$.

(a) *For $d = 2$, there exists a bounded operator,*

$$K : W^{m-1,p}_{\gamma_N+2}(\mathbb{R}^2) \to \mathcal{A}^{m+1,p}_{1^*,N}(\mathbb{R}^2)\,,$$

such that $\Delta Kg = g$. More specifically, $u = Kg$ is of the form

$$u(x) = \chi(r)\Big(a_0^* \log r + \frac{a_1(\theta)}{r} + ... + \cdots \frac{a_N(\theta)}{r^N}\Big) + f(x)\,, \quad f \in W^{m+1,p}_{\gamma_N}, \tag{73}$$

where a_0^* is a constant and $a_k(\theta)/r^k$, $k = 1, ..., N$ are harmonic functions on $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\}$;

(b) *For $d \geq 3$, there exists a bounded operator,*

$$K : W^{m-1,p}_{\gamma_N+2}(\mathbb{R}^d) \to \mathcal{A}^{m+1,p}_{d-2,N}(\mathbb{R}^d)\,,$$

such that $\Delta Kg = g$. More specifically, $u = Kg$ is of the form

$$u(x) = \chi(r)\Big(\frac{a_{d-2}}{r^{d-2}} + \frac{a_{d-1}(\theta)}{r^{d-1}} + \cdots + \frac{a_N(\theta)}{r^N}\Big) + f(x), \quad f \in W^{m+1,p}_{\gamma_N}, \tag{74}$$

where $a_{d-2} = \text{const}$ and $a_k(\theta)/r^k$, $k = d-2, ..., N$ are harmonic functions on $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}$.

For the proof of this Lemma we refer to [27, Section 3].

Remark A.1. *Using basic facts from the theory of distributions one can easily see that the asymptotic terms in* (73) *and* (74) *are linear combinations with constant coefficients of the derivatives $\partial^\alpha \mathcal{E}_d$, $|\alpha| \leq N$, where $\mathcal{E}_2 := \frac{1}{2\pi} \log r$ and $\mathcal{E}_d = c_{d-2}/r^{d-2}$, $d \geq 3$, is the fundamental solutions of the Laplace operator in $\mathbb{R}^d$. In particular, the coefficients $a_k(\theta)$ appearing in* (73) *and* (74) *are polynomial functions in $\theta \equiv \frac{x}{|x|}$.*

Remark A.2. *In fact, it follows from the proof of Lemma 3.1 in [27] that the coefficients a_0^* and a_{d-2} of the leading asymptotics of Kg, $g \in W^{m-1,p}_{\gamma_N+1}$, are equal to $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g(x)\,\mathrm{d}x$. More generally, the proof of Lemma 3.1 in [27] shows that for any $1 \leq k \leq N$ the coefficient $a_k(\theta)$ in* (73) *vanishes if and only if for any homogeneous harmonic polynomial h of degree k, $\int\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} gh\,\mathrm{d}x = 0$. A similar statement also holds for the coefficients in* (74)

Additional spaces and results: Take $R > 0$ and consider the open set $B_R^c = \big\{x \in \mathbb{R}^d \,\big|\, |x| > R\big\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$. For technical reasons we will also need the following modification of the asymptotic space $\mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{n,N;\ell}(\mathbb{R}^d)$: For any $m > \frac{d}{p}$, $1 \leq n \leq N$, $-n \leq \ell$, consider the space $\mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{n,N;\ell}(B_R^c)$ of functions $u \in H^{m,p}_{loc}(B_R^c)$ such that,

$$u(x) = \Big(\frac{a_n^0 + \cdots a_n^{n+\ell}(\log r)^{n+\ell}}{r^n} + \cdots + \frac{a_N^0 + \cdots a_N^{N+\ell}(\log r)^{N+\ell}}{r^N}\Big) + f(x)\,,$$

where $a_k^j \in H^{m+1+N-k}(\mathrm{S}^{d-1})$, $0 \leq j \leq k+\ell$ and $n \leq k \leq N$, and $f \in W^{m,p}_{\gamma_N}(B_R^c)$. The space $f \in W^{m,p}_{\gamma_N}(B_R^c)$ is defined in the same way as $W^{m,p}_{\gamma_N}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with the only difference that $\mathbb{R}^d$ is replaced by B_R^c. The space $\mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{n,N;\ell}(B_R^c)$ is equipped with the norm,

$$\|u\|_{\mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{n,N;\ell}(B_R^c)} := \sum_{n \leq k \leq N, 0 \leq j \leq k+\ell} \frac{(\log R)^j}{R^k} \|a_k^j\|_{H^{m+1+N-k,p}(\mathrm{S}^{d-1})} + \|f\|_{W^{m,p}_{\gamma_N}(B_R^c)}\,. \tag{75}$$

Note that for $u \in \mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{n,N;\ell}(B^c_{R_0})$, $\|u\|_{\mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{n,N;\ell}(B^c_R)} \to 0$ as $R \to \infty$. It is also clear that the restriction $u \mapsto u|_{B^c_r}$, $\mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{n,N;\ell}(\mathbb{R}^d) \to \mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{n,N;\ell}(B^c_R)$ is bounded.

Denote $B_R := \left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^d \,\middle|\, |x| < R\right\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$. Items (a) and (c) of the following Lemma are proved in the same way as Lemma 6.9 in [26]. The proof of item (b) follows the lines of the proof of Lemma A.2.

Lemma A.4. *Assume that $m > \frac{d}{p}$, $0 \le n \le N$, $-n \le \ell$, $R > 0$. Then we have:*

- (a) *If $u \in \mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{n,N;\ell}(B^c_R)$ for some $R > 0$ then there exists $\tilde{u} \in \mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{n,N;\ell}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ such that $\tilde{u}|_{B^c_R} = u$. Moreover, $u \in \mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{n,N;\ell}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ if and only if the restriction $u|_{B^c_R} \in \mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{n,N;\ell}(B^c_R)$ and $u|_{B_{R'}} \in H^{m,p}(B_{R'})$ for some $R' > R$. The norm $\|u\|_{\mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{n,N;\ell}(\mathbb{R}^d)}$ is equivalent to the norm $\|u\|_{\mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{n,N;\ell}(B^c_R)} + \|u\|_{H^{m,p}(B_{R'})}$;*

- (b) *The statement of Lemma A.2 holds with $\mathbb{R}^d$ replaced by B^c_R in the notation of the asymptotic spaces;*

- (c) *Assume in addition that $-n \le \ell \le 0$. Then there exist $C > 0$ and $R_0 > 0$ such that for any $R \ge R_0$ for any $u \in W^{m,p}_{\gamma_N}(B^c_R)$ and for any $v \in \mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{n,N;\ell}(B^c_R)$ we have $u \cdot v \in W^{m,p}_{\gamma_N}(B^c_R) \subseteq \mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{n,N;\ell}(B^c_R)$ and*
$$\|u \cdot v\|_{\mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{n,N;\ell}(B^c_R)} \le C\|u\|_{\mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{n,N;\ell}(B^c_R)}\|v\|_{\mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{n,N;\ell}(B^c_R)}.$$

Recall that Id the identity $d \times d$-matrix and $[\mathrm{d}_x\varphi] = \left(\frac{\partial \varphi^k}{\partial x_l}(x)\right)_{1 \le k,l \le d}$. Lemma A.4 and the arguments used in the proof of Lemma 6.10 in [26] imply

Lemma A.5. *The mapping,*

$$\varphi \mapsto [\mathrm{d}\varphi]^{-1} - \mathrm{Id}, \;\; \mathcal{A}D^{m,p}_{0,N;0} \to \mathcal{A}^{m-1,p}_{1,N+1;-1}\,,$$

is real-analytic.

The following Remarks clarify some choices made in the definition of the asymptotic spaces.

Remark A.3. *If we replace the cut-off function $\chi(r)$ in the definition of the asymptotic space $\mathcal{A}^{m,p}_{n,N;\ell}$ by any other cut-off function $\chi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, $\chi(r) = 1$ for $r > R_2$, and $\chi(r) = 0$ for $0 \le r \le R_1$ where $0 < R_1 < R_2$, we will get the same asymptotic space equipped with an equivalent norm (see Remark 2.2 in [27]).*

Remark A.4. *Take $0 < R_1 < R_2$ and consider the annulus $B_{R_1,R_2} := \left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^d \,\middle|\, R_1 < |x| < R_2\right\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$. Let $a \in H^{m,p}(\mathrm{S}^{d-1})$, $m > d/p$, and let us denote by $\hat{a}$ the homogeneous function of degree zero in $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}$, $\hat{a}(x) := a(\theta)$, $\theta = \frac{x}{|x|}$. Then one can easily confirm that $\hat{a} \in H^{m,p}(B_{R_1,R_2})$ and that the map $a \mapsto \hat{a}$, $H^{m,p}(\mathrm{S}^{d-1}) \to H^{m,p}(B_{R_1,R_2})$ is bounded.*

B Examples

In this Appendix we consider two Examples. In the first one we construct a divergence free asymptotic vector field without log terms so that the corresponding solution of the Euler equation develops log terms. In the second example we construct a divergence free vector field with compact support that develops a non-vanishing asymptotic term $\chi(r)\, a_{d+1}(\theta)/r^{d+1}$.

Example 1. Here we construct a divergence free vector field $u_0 \in \mathcal{A}^{m,p}_3$ such that the solution $u \in C^0\big([0,T], \mathring{\mathcal{A}}^{m,p}_{3;0}\big) \cap C^1\big([0,T], \mathring{\mathcal{A}}^{m-1,p}_{3;0}\big)$ given by Theorem 1.1 has log terms, i.e. there exists $0 < \tau \leq T$ such that

$$u(\tau) \in \mathring{\mathcal{A}}^{m,p}_{3;0} \setminus \mathcal{A}^{m,p}_3 \,.$$

This shows that $\mathcal{A}^{m,p}_N$ with $N \geq 3$ is *not* invariant under the Euler flow, and establishes the necessity of using the asymptotic spaces with log terms. For simplicity, we restrict our attention to the case when $d = 2$. In this case we can identify the complex plane $\mathbb{C}$ with $\mathbb{R}^2$ and use complex notations. In particular, we set $z = x + iy = e^{i\phi}$, $\phi \in \mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z}$, and note that $\Delta = 4\partial_z\partial_{\bar z}$ where $\partial_z = (\partial_x - i\partial_y)/2$ and $\partial_{\bar z} = (\partial_x + i\partial_y)/2$. Let H be a real valued C^∞-smooth Hamiltonian function on $\mathbb{R}^2 = \{(x,y)\}$ and let $u_0 = (-H_y, H_x)$ be the corresponding Hamiltonian vector field. Then, by a straightforward computation, we have

$$Q(u_0) = \operatorname{tr}\big([\mathrm{d}u_0]^2\big) = -2\det\begin{bmatrix} H_{xx} & H_{xy} \\ H_{yx} & H_{yy} \end{bmatrix} = 8\det\begin{bmatrix} H_{zz} & H_{z\bar z} \\ H_{\bar z z} & H_{\bar z\bar z} \end{bmatrix}. \tag{76}$$

Now, consider the Hamiltonian

$$H := \left[\Big(\frac{z}{\bar z} + \frac{\bar z}{z}\Big) + \alpha\left(\Big(\frac{z}{\bar z}\Big)^2 + \Big(\frac{\bar z}{z}\Big)^2\right)\right]\chi(r),$$

where $\alpha \neq 0$ is a given constant. Then we have $H_z = \Big[-\frac{\bar z}{z^2} + \frac{1}{\bar z} + 2\alpha\Big(\frac{z}{\bar z^2} - \frac{\bar z^2}{z^3}\Big)\Big]\chi(r) + C^\infty_{comp}$ where C^∞_{comp} stands for a term in C^∞ with compact support. This implies that

$$H_{z\bar z} = \left[-\frac{1}{\bar z^2} - \frac{1}{z^2} - 4\alpha\Big(\frac{\bar z}{z^3} + \frac{z}{\bar z^3}\Big)\right]\chi(r) + C^\infty_{comp}, \quad H_{zz} = \left[2\frac{\bar z}{z^3} + 6\alpha\frac{\bar z^2}{z^4} + 2\alpha\frac{1}{\bar z^2}\right]\chi(r) + C^\infty_{comp}\,.$$

As H is real valued we have $H_{\bar z\bar z} = \overline{(H_{zz})}$. This and (76) then imply that

$$Q(u_0) = 32\alpha\left(\frac{1}{z\bar z^3} + \frac{1}{\bar z z^3}\right)\chi(r) + \cdots = 32\alpha\,\frac{e^{2i\phi} + e^{-2i\phi}}{r^4}\chi(r) + \cdots\,,$$

where ... stands for a term in C^∞ with compact support plus an asymptotic term $\frac{a(\phi)}{r^4}\chi(r)$ such that the Fourier series of $a(\phi)$ does not contain exponents $e^{\pm 2i\phi}$. Then, in view of Lemma A.3 (a), formula (13) and (14),

$$\Delta^{-1}\circ Q(u_0) = -4\alpha\log(z\bar z)\Big(\frac{1}{z^2} + \frac{1}{\bar z^2}\Big)\chi(r) + \cdots\,,$$

where ... stands for an asymptotic term of the form $\big(a^*_0\log r + \frac{a_1(\phi)}{r} + \frac{a_2(\phi)}{r^2}\big)\chi(r)$, where $a^*_0 = \text{const}$ and $a_1(\phi)$ and $a_2(\phi)$ are trigonometric polynomials of $\phi \in \mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z}$, and a reminder term in $W^{m+1,p}_{\gamma_2}$.

This shows that $\nabla \circ \Delta^{-1} \circ Q(u_0)$ has a non-vanishing asymptotic term $\frac{b(\phi)\log r}{r^3}\chi(r)$ where $b(\phi)$ is an $\mathbb{R}^2$-valued trigonometric polynomial of ϕ. This together with Theorem 1.1 and Lemma 4.1 then shows that, for $0 < \tau \leq T$ small enough, $u(\tau) \in \mathring{\mathcal{A}}^{m,p}_{3;0} \setminus \mathcal{A}^{m,p}_3$. Hence, the space $\mathcal{A}^{m,p}_3$ is *not* invariant with respect to the Euler flow. Finally, note that the constructed divergence free vector field u_0 is of the form

$$u_0 = \frac{c(\phi)}{r}\chi(r) + C^\infty_{comp}$$

where $c(\phi)$ is an $\mathbb{R}^2$-valued trigonometric polynomial of $\phi \in \mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z}$.

Example 2. Below, for the convenience of the reader, we construct a divergence free C^∞-smooth vector field with compact support so that the solution of the Euler equation given by Theorem 1.1 has a non-vanishing asymptotic term (cf. [15] for a different argument). As above, we restrict our attention to the case $d = 2$, identify $\mathbb{R}^2$ with the complex plane $\mathbb{C}$, and use complex notations. Let $a : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a C^∞-smooth function so that $a(\varrho) = 1$ for $|\varrho| \leq 1$ and $a(\varrho) = 0$ for $|\varrho| \geq 2$. Consider the Hamiltonian

$$H := \big(z + \bar{z}\big)a$$

where a stands for $a(z\bar{z})$ and let $u_0 = \big(-H_y, H_x\big)$ be the Hamiltonian vector field of H. We have

$$H_{\bar{z}} = z\big(z + \bar{z}\big)a' + a$$

where a' stands for $a'(z\bar{z})$. Continuing this computation, we have

$$H_{z\bar{z}} = \Big((z\bar{z})a'' + 2a'\Big)\big(z + \bar{z}\big)$$

$$H_{\bar{z}\bar{z}} = \Big((z\bar{z})a'' + 2a'\Big)z + z^3a''$$

and, as $H_{zz} = \overline{(H_{\bar{z}\bar{z}})}$,

$$H_{zz} = \Big((z\bar{z})a'' + 2a'\Big)\bar{z} + \bar{z}^3a''.$$

Then, by (76), we have

$$\begin{aligned} Q(u_0) &= 8\big(H_{zz}H_{\bar{z}\bar{z}} - (H_{z\bar{z}})^2\big) \\ &= -8\Big(2(z\bar{z})a'a'' + 4(a')^2\Big)\big(z^2 + \bar{z}^2\big) + \cdots, \end{aligned}$$

where $\cdots$ stands for a term that dependents only on $|z|$. Further, consider the integral

$$\iint\limits_{\mathbb{R}^2} Q(u_0)\,\bar{z}^2\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}y = -8\pi\int_0^\infty A(\varrho)\,\mathrm{d}\varrho$$

where $A(\varrho) := 2a'(\varrho)a''(\varrho)\varrho^3 + 4(a'(\varrho))^2\varrho^2$ and we passed to the new variable $\varrho = r^2$ in the integral. As $A(\varrho) = \big((a'(\varrho))^2\varrho^3\big)' + (a'(\varrho))^2\varrho^2$ we obtain that $\int_0^\infty A(\varrho)\,d\varrho = \int_0^\infty (a'(\varrho))^2\varrho^2\,\mathrm{d}\varrho$, and hence

$$\iint\limits_{\mathbb{R}^2} Q(u_0)\,(x^2 - y^2)\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}y < 0\,. \tag{77}$$

In view of Lemma B.1 below we have $\iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} Q(u_0)\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}y = 0$. This together with Lemma A.3 and Remark A.2 then implies that $\Delta^{-1}\circ Q(u_0)$ belongs to the asymptotic space $\mathcal{A}^{m+1,p}_{2,N;0}$ for any given $N \geq 3$ and

$$\Delta^{-1}\circ Q(u_0) = \frac{a_2(\theta)}{r^2}\chi(r) + \cdots$$

with $a_2 \neq 0$. Theorem 1.1 and Lemma 4.1 then show that the solution $u \in C^0\big([0,T],\mathring{\mathcal{A}}^{m,p}_{N;0}\big) \cap C^1\big([0,T],\mathring{\mathcal{A}}^{m-1,p}_{N;0}\big)$ of the Euler equation with initial data u_0 constructed above has a non-vanishing asymptotic term $\chi(r)a_3(\theta)/r^3$.

Remark B.1. *In view of Lemma B.1 below, Lemma A.3 and Remark A.2, there is no C^∞-smooth divergence free vector field u_0 with compact support in $\mathbb{R}^2$ so that $\Delta^{-1}\circ Q(u_0)$ has non-vanishing asymptotic terms $\chi(r)\,a_0^*\log r$ or $\chi(r)\,a_1(\theta)/r$. A similar statement also holds for $d \geq 3$.*

Remark B.2. *Denote by $\mathring{\mathcal{S}}$ the linear space of divergence free vector fields in $\mathbb{R}^2$ whose components belong to the Schwartz class of functions $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^2)$. Example 2 shows that the bounded quadratic form $u \mapsto \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} Q(u)\,(x^2-y^2)\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}y$, $\mathring{\mathcal{S}} \to \mathbb{R}$, does not identically vanish. As this is a real analytic function on $\mathring{\mathcal{S}}$ we see that there is an open and dense set of vector fields u_0 in $\mathring{\mathcal{S}}$ so that for any $N \geq 3$ the solution $u \in C^0\big([0,T],\mathring{\mathcal{A}}^{m,p}_{N;0}\big) \cap C^1\big([0,T],\mathring{\mathcal{A}}^{m-1,p}_{N;0}\big)$ of the Euler equation with initial data u_0 has a non-trivial asymptotic term $\chi(r)a_3(\theta)/r^3$. Moreover, one can conclude from Remark 9.1 that for almost all $t \in [0,T]$ the asymptotic part of the solution $u(t)$ is non-trivial. Similar statements also hold for $d \geq 3$.*

Lemma B.1. *Let u_0 be a C^∞-smooth divergence free vector field with compact support in $\mathbb{R}^d$, $d \geq 2$. Then*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} Q(u_0)\,\mathrm{d}x_1\ldots\mathrm{d}x_d = 0 \quad \textit{and} \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} x_k\,Q(u_0)\,\mathrm{d}x_1\ldots\mathrm{d}x_d = 0$$

for any $1 \leq k \leq d$.

Proof. The Lemma follows from (37) and the Stokes' theorem. In fact, let u_0 be a C^∞-smooth divergence free vector field with compact support in $\mathbb{R}^d$, $d \geq 2$. By (37)

$$Q(u_0) = \operatorname{div} X \quad \text{where} \quad X := u_0\cdot\nabla u_0.$$

As the vector field X has compact support we see from the Stokes' theorem that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} Q(u_0)\,\mathrm{d}x_1\ldots\mathrm{d}x_d = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\operatorname{div} X)\,\mathrm{d}x_1\ldots\mathrm{d}x_d = 0.$$

In order to prove the second equality note that for any $1 \leq k \leq d$ we have

$$L_X\big(x_k\,\mathrm{d}x_1\wedge\ldots\wedge\mathrm{d}x_d\big) = X_k\,\mathrm{d}x_1\wedge\ldots\wedge\mathrm{d}x_d + x_k(\operatorname{div} X)\,\mathrm{d}x_1\wedge\ldots\wedge\mathrm{d}x_d \tag{78}$$

where L_X denotes the Lie derivative in the direction of the vector field X and X_k is the k-th component of X. As $L_X\big(x_k\,\mathrm{d}x_1\wedge\ldots\wedge\mathrm{d}x_d\big) = \mathrm{d}\big(i_X(x_k\mathrm{d}x_1\wedge\ldots\wedge\mathrm{d}x_d)\big)$ and as X has compact support we get from (78) and the Stokes' theorem that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} x_k(\operatorname{div} X)\,\mathrm{d}x_1\ldots\mathrm{d}x_d = -\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} X_k\,\mathrm{d}x_1\ldots\mathrm{d}x_d\,. \tag{79}$$

Now, using that $X = u_0 \cdot \nabla u_0$ and the fact that u_0 preserves the volume form $\mathrm{d}x_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge \mathrm{d}x_d$ we conclude that $X_k \,\mathrm{d}x_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge \mathrm{d}x_d = L_{u_0}\big(u_{0k}\,\mathrm{d}x_1 \ldots \mathrm{d}x_d\big) = \mathrm{d}\big(i_{u_0}(u_{0k}\,\mathrm{d}x_1 \ldots \mathrm{d}x_d)\big)$ where u_{0k} is the k-th component of the vector field u_0. As u_0 has compact support we again conclude from the Stokes' theorem that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} X_k \,\mathrm{d}x_1 \ldots \mathrm{d}x_d = 0\,.$$

This together with (79) then completes the proof of the Lemma. □

References

[1] V. Arnold, *Sur la geometrié differentielle des groupes de Lie de dimension infinie et ses applications à l'hydrodynamique des fluids parfaits*, Ann. Inst. Fourier, **16**(1966), no. 1, 319-361

[2] K. Bardos, E. Titi, *Euler equations for an ideal incompressible fluid*, Russian Math. Surveys, **62**(2007), no. 3, 409-451

[3] I. Bondareva, M. Shubin, *Growing asymptotic solutions of the Korteweg-de Vries equation and of its higher analogues*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR, **267**(1982), no. 5, 1035-1038

[4] I. Bondareva, M. Shubin, *Uniqueness of the solution of the Cauchy problem for the Korteweg-de Vries equation in classes of increasing functions*, Vestnik Moskov. Univ. Ser. I Mat. Mekh, **1985**, no. 3, 35-38

[5] I. Bondareva, M. Shubin, *Equations of Korteweg-de Vries type in classes of increasing functions*, J. Soviet Math., **51**(1990), no. 3, 2323-2332

[6] J. P. Bourguignon, H. Brezis, *Remarks on the Euler equation*, J. Func. Anal., **15**(1974), 341-363

[7] L. Brandolese, *Space-time decay of Navier-Stokes flows invariant under rotations*, Math. Ann., **329**(2004), no. 4, 685-706

[8] L. Brandolese, Y. Meyer, *On the instantaneous spreading for the Navier-Stokes system in the whole space. A tribute to J. L. Lions.*, ESAIM Control Optim. Calc. Var., **8**(2002), 273-285

[9] M. Cantor, *Perfect fluid flows over $\mathbb{R}^n$ with asymptotic conditions*, J. Func. Anal., **18**(1975), 73-84

[10] R. Camassa, D. Holm, *An integrable shallow water equation with peaked solitons*, Phys. Rev. Lett, **71**(1993), 1661-1664

[11] A. Constantin, *Existence of permanent and breaking waves for a shallow water equation: a geometric approach*, Ann. Inst. Fourier, Grenoble, **50**(2000), no. 2, 321-362

[12] C. De Lellis, T. Kappeler, P. Topalov, *Low regularity solutions of the Camassa-Holm equation*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations, **32**(2007), no. 1-3, 87-126

[13] J. Dieudonné, Foundations of Modern Analysis, Academic Press, 1969

[14] D. Ebin, J. Marsden, *Groups of diffeomorphisms and the motion of an incompressible fluid*, Ann. Math., **92**(1970), 102-163

[15] S. Dobrokhotov, A. Shafarevich, *Some integral identities and remarks on the decay at infinity of the solutions of the Navier-Stokes Equations in the entire space*, Russian J. Math. Phys., **2**(1994), no. 1, 133-135

[16] A. Erdélyi, Asymptotic Expansions, Dover Publications, Inc., 1956

[17] H. Inci, T. Kappeler, P. Topalov, *On the regularity of the composition of diffeomorphisms*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc., **226**(2013), no. 1062

[18] T. Kappeler, E. Loubet, P. Topalov, *Analyticity of Riemannian exponential maps on* Diff($\mathbb{T}$), J. Lie Theory, **17**(2007), no. 3, 481-503

[19] T. Kappeler, E. Loubet, P. Topalov, *Riemannian exponential maps of the diffeomorphism groups of* $\mathbb{T}^2$, Asian J. Math., **12**(2008), no. 3, 391-420

[20] T. Kappeler, P. Perry, M. Shubin, P. Topalov, *Solutions of mKdV in classes of functions unbounded at infinity*, J. Geom. Anal., **18**(2008), no. 2, 443-477

[21] T. Kato, *On classical solutions of the two-dimensional non-stationary Euler equation* Arch. Rational Mech. Anal., **25**(1967), 188-200.

[22] T. Kato, *Quasi-linear equations of evolution, with applications to partial differential equations* Lecture Notes in Math., **448**, Springer, Berlin, 1975

[23] I. Kukavica, E. Reis, *Asymptotic expansion for solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations with potential forces*, J. Differential Equations, **250**(2011), no. 1, 607-622

[24] S. Lang, Differential manifolds, Addison-Wesley Series in Mathematics, 1972

[25] R. McOwen, *The behavior of the Laplacian on weighted Sobolev spaces*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., **32**(1979), no. 6, 783-795

[26] R. McOwen, P. Topalov, *Asymptotics in shallow water waves*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst., **35**(2015), no. 7, 3103-3131

[27] R. McOwen, P. Topalov, *Groups of asymptotic diffeomorphisms*, to appear in Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst., arXiv:1503.04850

[28] A. Menikoff, *The existence of unbounded solutions of the Korteweg-de Vries equation*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., **25**(1972), 407-432

[29] G. Misiolek, *A shallow water equation as a geodesic flow on the Bott-Virasoro group*, J. Geom. Phys., **24**(1998), 203-208

[30] P. Serfati, *Équations d'Euler et holomorphies à faible regularité spatiale*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, **320**(1994), no. 2, Série I, 175-180

[31] A. Shnirelman, *On the analyticity of particle trajectories in the ideal incompressible fluid*, arXiv:1205.5837v

[32] M. Shubin, Pseudodifferential operators and spectral theory, Second edition, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2001

[33] W. Wolibner, *Un theorème sur l'existence du mouvement plan d'un fluide parfait, homogène, incompressible, pendant un temps infiniment long*, Math. Z., **37**(1933), no. 1, 698-726